pealed from, and, so far as the Master was concerned, this point was res judicata. The Master further said that the objection that a plaintiff has merely a nominal interest must be supported by "very clear proof—before the Court should intercept it at the outset by an order for security for costs:" Pritchard v. Pattison, 1 O.L.R. 37; and referred also to Wright v. Wright, 12 P.R. 42, following Stewart v. Sullivan, 11 P.R. 529, as shewing that the Master, not having the inherent jurisdiction of the Court, cannot stay an action for non-payment by the plaintiff of interlocutory costs. Motion dismissed, with costs to the plaintiffs in any event, without prejudice to a substantive application to the Court as in Stewart v. Sullivan, supra. Casey Wood, for the defendants. J. G. O'Donoghue, for the plaintiffs.

RE CAMPBELLFORD LAKE ONTARIO AND WESTERN R.W. Co.— RIDDELL, J., IN CHAMBERS—JUNE 22.

Railway—Expropriation of Lands—Warrants for Possession—Sums to be Paid into Court.]—Applications by the railway company for warrants for possession of lands taken. The sole question was as to the amounts to be paid into Court. Riddle, J., after perusal of the material, ordered that there should be paid in: for C. A. Annis, \$2,000; for James Stanley, \$4,000; for J. D. Stevens, \$2,500; for R. R. Stevens, \$2,500. J. D. Spence, for the railway company. James Pearson, for the landowners.

YATES V. CITY OF WINDSOR-FALCONBRIDGE, C.J.K.B.-JUNE 22.

Highway — Nonrepair — Snow and Ice — Injury to Pedestrian—Gross Negligence—Damages.]—Action by Thomas H. Yates for damages for injuries sustained by falling on ice that had been allowed to accumulate, as alleged, by negligence of the defendants, on the sidewalk on Goyeau street, Windsor, on the 25th January, 1912. The learned Chief Justice reviews the evidence and finds that the defendants were guilty of that gross negligence causing the accident which the statute requires to render the defendants liable therefor. He assesses the plaintiff's damages at \$1,250, and gives judgment in his favour for that sum, with costs. O. E. Fleming, K.C., for the plaintiff. A. St. George Ellis, for the defendants.