

### Order Paper Questions

staff of the Centre, insufficient numbers have been so released to enable a decision to be made as to whether or not the treatment is effective and should be continued.

4. There is no Ethics Committee as described in this question.

#### (a) Single Pensioners

Percent	5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50	55	60	65	70	75	80	85	90	95	100
W.W. I	545	826	438	528	246	285	117	219	15	237	35	97	16	59	31	232	34	82	16	385
W.W. II	3537	4481	1810	2268	986	1118	474	847	70	1059	169	454	104	215	109	247	50	93	36	924
Special Force	115	124	39	63	25	23	11	25	2	19	5	8	2	4	3	8	1	1	—	14

#### (b) Married Pensioners

W.W. I	833	1494	764	905	477	559	228	375	32	436	72	188	41	118	60	433	53	158	28	615
W.W. II	7541	11755	5163	6404	2996	3454	1324	2223	222	2936	547	1263	373	777	339	824	262	348	123	1786
Special Force	83	91	48	61	16	23	7	26	6	27	4	13	3	4	8	4	1	2	—	16

### COMPENSATION FOR FORMER PRISONERS OF WAR

#### Question No. 300—Mr. Marshall:

1. How many applications have been received since the implementation of the Prisoner of War Compensation Act?

2. (a) How many have been processed for which payments have been sent out (b) are there any still on hand and, if so, for what reason?

3. Has the Department of Veterans Affairs received letters from First World War prisoners of war complaining of their exclusion from compensation and, if so, what are their (a) names (b) disabilities?

#### Hon. Daniel J. MacDonald (Minister of Veterans Affairs):

1. At October 21, 1976, 6,128 applications for compensation under the Prisoner of War Compensation Act had been received. Benefits were paid automatically by the Commission to 1,117 Hong Kong veterans and other persons imprisoned by the Japanese for one year or more.

2. (a) At October 21, 1976, 4,953 claims had been approved for payment. (b) 853 applications are currently in various stages of processing. (322 applications were received from persons not eligible to receive compensation).

3. A number of letters and phone calls have been received from First World War prisoners of war. Complete statistics on these inquiries have not been maintained by the Commission.

### NATIONAL DEFENCE—REPAIR AND CAPITAL COST IMPROVEMENTS TO BASES 1974-75

#### Question No. 488—Mr. Hurlburt:

For the fiscal year 1974-75, what was the dollar value of repairs and capital cost improvements to military bases in (a) British Columbia (b) Alberta (c) Saskatchewan (d) Manitoba (e) Ontario (f) Quebec (g) Prince Edward Island (h) Nova Scotia (i) New Brunswick (j) Newfoundland?

**Hon. Barney Danson (Minister of National Defence):** The dollar value of repairs and capital cost improvements to military bases by provinces in Canada for fiscal year 1974-75 was as follows:

### NUMBER OF WAR DISABILITY—PENSIONERS

#### Question No. 299—Mr. Marshall:

In graduations of 5%, how many (a) single (b) married war disability pensioners are there with disabilities between 5% and 100%?

#### Hon. Daniel J. MacDonald (Minister of Veterans Affairs):

tary bases by provinces in Canada for fiscal year 1974-75 was as follows:

	Construction	Maintenance
British Columbia	\$7,534,338	\$8,374,877
Alberta	7,636,220	8,781,455
Saskatchewan	1,407,425	2,244,618
Manitoba	5,313,348	6,201,217
Ontario	19,204,269	12,272,499
Quebec	10,215,572	10,864,633
New Brunswick	1,509,443	8,052,351
Nova Scotia	9,694,030	15,025,638
Prince Edward Island	566,165	2,513,402
Newfoundland	212,989	579,173
	\$63,293,799	\$74,909,863

### 1974-75 BUDGETARY EXPENDITURES

#### Question No. 513—Mr. Herbert:

1. Does the chart indicating percentage distribution of 1974-75 budgetary expenditures by function, indicate 3% for internal overhead expenses?

2. What is the dollar make-up of this figure and to what departments are the amounts applicable?

3. What criteria are used to distinguish between overhead expenses and the administrative costs of (a) general government services (b) departmental administration costs (c) programme administration?

**Hon. Robert K. Andras (President of Treasury Board):** 1. Yes.

2. 1974-75 budgetary expenditures for the Internal Overhead Expenses function were \$875.4 million. The amounts attributable to specific departments are presented in Appendix A.

3. Costs are assigned to the Internal Overhead Expenses function when: (i) they are incurred by certain departments and agencies, as listed in Appendix A, in providing support