

amend the Immigration Appeal Board Act, which was read the first time and ordered to be printed and ordered for a second reading at the next sitting of the House.

The text of the Message and recommendation of the Governor General pursuant to Standing Order 62(2) in relation to the foregoing Bill is as follows:

His Excellency the Governor General recommends to the House of Commons a measure to amend the Immigration Appeal Board Act to give the Governor in Council the permanent power to appoint up to seven temporary members to the Board and the temporary power to appoint such number of temporary members as the Governor in Council considers necessary to eliminate the Board's backlog of appeals and anticipated appeals.

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following seven Questions were made Orders of the House for Returns:

No. 105—*Mr. Nystrom*

1. What was the total amount of money spent in each of the fiscal years 1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71 and 1971-72 by the Department of National Revenue on contracts to outside persons and organizations for research, development and other consulting services?

2. What are the names and addresses of these outside persons and organizations and what amounts of money were involved in each contract?

3. What was the purpose of each contract and title of each report submitted?

4. What are the names and addresses of outside persons and organizations who were awarded contracts for research, development and other consulting services in the current fiscal year, what are the amounts of money involved in each case and what is the purpose of each contract?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/105.

No. 1,019—*Mr. Hueglin*

1. What, if any, method has been devised to eliminate fraudulent UIC claims?

2. How many claims, if any, were disallowed, by month (a) during the calendar year 1971 (b) since January 1, 1972 up to the latest month for which statistics are available?

3. Of the claims disallowed during (a) 1972 (b) 1971 how many, by month, were (i) initial (ii) renewal claims?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,019.

No. 1,725—*Mr. Korchinski*

1. How many applications were received for LIP grants from Indian organizations or individuals on reservations?

2. Which reservations received LIP grants for what amount and for what purpose?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,725.

No. 1,727—*Mr. Muir*

1. How many applications were approved and awarded in Nova Scotia under the Local Initiatives Program?

2. How many applications were approved for each of the federal constituencies in Nova Scotia and, in each case, how much money was involved and what was the name of the project?

3. How many applications were submitted from each of the federal constituencies in Nova Scotia?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,727.

No. 1,893—*Mr. Beaudoin*

1. Has Canada imported granite stones for multiple uses since January 1, 1971 and, if so, from which countries?

2. Do the non-Canadian firms exporting granite to Canada have to pay custom duties or other charges and, if so, what are such charges?

3. Since January 1, 1971, has the government provided financial assistance by way of loans or gifts, to Canadian firms specializing in granite quarrying and, if so, what are the names of such firms and what was the nature of such aid?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,893.

No. 1,900—*Mr. Forrestall*

1. What are the names, construction dates, vessel types and estimated service life expectancy of vessels of the Canadian Coast Guard?

2. Is it the intention of government to institute a programme of specific replacement and modernization for the Canadian Coast Guard and (a) if so, on what date (b) if not this year, for what reason?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/1,900.

No. 2,052—*Mr. Matte*

1. (a) How many federal-provincial conferences have been held since 1936 (b) on what date, in each case (c) what was the nature of each?

2. During such conferences was the Canadian constitution discussed and, if so, on what date?—Sessional Paper No. 291-2/2,052.

Mr. Reid, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Returns to the foregoing Orders.

The House resumed debate on the motion of Mr. Turner (Ottawa-Carleton), seconded by Mr. MacEachen,—That Bill C-192, An Act to amend the Income Tax Act (No. 2), be now read a second time and referred to a Committee of the Whole.

After further debate, the question being put on the said motion, pursuant to Order made this day a recorded division on the question for second reading and reference to a Committee of the Whole of Bill C-192, An Act to amend the Income Tax Act (No. 2), stands deferred until