by the Fifth Committee by a narrow majority. Canada do Men and the other member states whose names appear on a find the amendment which is before us have tried to carry the process of compromise one step further and achieve a resolution of much wider acceptance.

Paragraph 1 of the amendment proposes to sub-stitute the words "review of" instead of "appeal against" in the last paragraph of the preamble of the resolution. Members are aware that "review" was a term employed by the International Court of Justice when it referred to this matter in its advisory opinion. I wish to emphasize that in the view of the co-sponsors of the amendment the word "review" is a broader term which would include appeals and other judicial procedures. The object of this change, therefore, and the similar amendment in Part (b) ii of the second paragraph of the amendment in Part (b) ii of the second paragraph of the amendment before us is not to limit the Special Committee to consider only one specific form of judicial review.

The second paragraph of our amendment seeks to insert in the first paragraph of Part B of the resolution a provision by which the General Assembly accepts in principle judicial review of judgments of the United Nations Administrative Tribunal. Members of the will recall that this provision was included in Part B of the original draft resolution and was never voted upon. It is our belief that this paragraph might have found acceptance with the Committee and it is for this reason that the cosponsors seek to place it before members at this

In conclusion may I repeat that this amendment is offered in a spirit of compromise and in the conviction that the resolution will represent the greatest possible measure of agreement if the amendment is accepted. We therefore earnestly commend it to the Assembly.

Results

Following is the text of a resolution to (U.N. Doc. A/RESOLUTION/295) adopted by the General Assembly in a plenary meeting on December 17 by a vote of 52 in favour (including Canada) to 5 against, with

of Text Resolution

The General Assembly,

expressed. The co sponsors of the resolution, therefore, consulted with states in an attempt to devise an avoid be acceptable to the great yield delegations. We are hopeful that Having considered the advisory opinion of the International Court of Justice of 13 July 1954 regarding the effect of awards of compensation made

The proposed amendment to delete the word "possible" would then bring the present first paragraph of Section B into harmony with this additional Section B into harmony with this additional paragraph