DASYCHIRA LINTNERI, GRT.

Recently on a visit to Plattsburgh, N. Y., I noticed in the collection of my friend, Mr. G. H. Hudson, four specimens of a Gluphisia new to me, similar to G. trilineata but larger and stouter, and with a yellow shade preceding the subterminal line. These were taken by Mr. Hudson as follows:—April 13th, 1890, 13; April 23rd, 1890, 3 3 3. I compared the descriptions of all our species of Gluphisia without finding anything to fit, but later found the species in the collection of Prof. Lintner under the label "Dasychira Lintneri, Grt.," and Mr. Grote's description fits the specimen exactly. From the above it will be seen that the species must be referred to Gluphisia, and will stand thus: Gluphisia Lintneri, Grt.

1877-Grote, Can. Ent., IX., 85, Dasychira.

The venation is that of G. trilineata; three median venules; the subcostal series crowded well toward the apex of the wing; the independent vein of secondaries absent, but represented by a strong fold which runs to the base of the wing. It is very different from that of Dasychira, and it is somewhat curious that Mr. Grote should have been led to refer it to that genus, differing as it does in subfamily characters.

HARRISON G. DYAR, New York.

AGROTIS SUBGOTHICA, HAW.

Mr. Grote in his "Check List of N. American Noctuidæ," 1890, treats this as a distinct species. I do not know the American subgothica, which is, I dare say, a distinct species; but why is it subgothica, Haw.? I think there can be no doubt that Haworth's description applies to a well-known variety of Agrotis tritici, and, therefore, unless the American species is also a var. of A. tritici I fail to see how it can be called subgothica, Haw., at all. It should, in my opinion, be subgothica, Grote. Mr. A. G. Butler has followed this nomenclature, "Trans. Ent. Soc.," 1889, p. 377, and looks like landing us into a muddle. I drew his attention to this and other errors in the "Entomologist's Record," etc., 1890, p. 10, and on p. 31 Mr. Butler simply falls back on Grote's "Check List" as his authority without attempting to combat my view that subgothica, Haw., is not subgothica, Grote. Perhaps Mr. Grote will tell us what he knows of subgothica, Haw., other than as a well-recognized var. of tritici.

I. W. Tutt, Westcombe Hill, London, England.