DIARY-CONTENTS-EDITORIAL ITEM-SUPREME COURT REPORTS.

	DIARY FOR DECEMBER.
1.	SatLast day for delivering appeal books.
2.	SUN Advent Sunday.
6.	ThurRehearing term in Chancery.
7.	FriLaw Society Convocation meets. Rebels de-
	feated at Toronto, 1837.
8.	Sat Michaelmas Term ends.
9.	SUN2nd Sunday in Advent.
11.	Tues. General Sessions and County Court Sittings.
15.	Sat Court of Appeal sits. Prince Albert died, 1871.
16.	BUN 3d Sunday in Advent.
17.	Mo:First Lower Canada Parliament met, 1792.
2 1.	FriSt. Thomas. Shortest day.
23.	SUN4th Sunday in Advent.
24.	MonChristmas vacation in Chancery, and vacation
	for Judges Q.B. and C.P. sitting singly
25.	TuesCHRISTMAS DAY.
96.	Wed. Upper Canada made a Province, 1791.

CONTENTS.

27. Thur St. John's Day, (the Evangelist.)

30. SUN..1st Sunday after Christmas.

EDITORIALS: P.	AGE,
Recent decisions Supreme Court Reports The legal effect of a cheque Hon. William Henry Draper, C.B. Constitution of Appellate Courts Recent judicial appointments. SELECTIONS:	341 343 344 351
New Trials for felony Rehearings in criminal cases Chattel mortrages of things not in esse. CANADA REPORTS: OSTARIO:	355
ORTANIO: COMMON LAW CHAMBERS. Bridges v. Douglas. Prohibition—Division Courts—Jurisdiction	358
CHANCERY CHAMBERS, Re Jack, Jack v. Jack. Administration NOTES OF CASES:	35 8
Qtieen's Bench	363
CORRESPONDENCE	365

THE

Canada Paw Journal.

Toronto, December, 1877.

"Lex," in the last number, drew our attention to the real decision of the Court in *Hutchinson* v. *Beatty*, 40 U.C.R., 135. Upon further consideration of the case, we admit that our correspondent is right, and that we misconstrued the observations of the Court upon an argument advanced by the counsel, that a limitation of time for the removal of timber sold must be implied from the language of the statutes.

SUPREME COURT REPORTS.

We have just received the first two numbers of the reports of the Supreme Court of Canada. The first number contains the cases of Kelly v. Sulivan, and The Queen v. Taylor, heard in June, 1876, and judgment given on January 15th, 1877. Thesecond number contains four cases, in the last of which judgment was delivered on 27th February, 1877. There is. no explanation given as to the delay in producing these reports. The rehas not therefore porter the merit of promptitude; in other respects his work, we regret to say. cannot be commended.

It was hoped that the large remuneration given would have secured the services of some professional man, of general information and experience, and, if possible, not only familiar with the laws of the Province of Quebec, but also with those of the other provinces, who could well and intelligently report the decisions of the Court of final resort in this Dominion. Mr. Duval may be a good French Lawyer, but of the laws of the English speaking Provinces, of vastly more importance in wealth and population. he is profoundly ignorant. An earnest study for a few days of the excellent reports published in England would at least have enabled him to present the result of his labours to the profession in a style somewhat resembling those excellent mod-It is manifest, however, that he has made no effort to fit himself for his sufficiently easy, but, as far as it goes, somewhat important position. We must hope that in time, when he has gained experience, and has seen the necessity for improvement, he will be found more equal to the occasion.

Let us now examine these reports and see whether our introductory observations are not fully warranted. The first case is Kelly v. Sulivan. We