now going on in the arctic and boreal regions." "These are grave accusations," he continues, "but I find none of the memoirs or other writings of the current school of glacialists free from such errors; and I think it is time that reasonable men should discountenance these misrepresentations, and adopt more moderate and rational views."

Of course Sir W. Dawson cannot resist the temptation of stating as "an inevitable conclusion" (page 289) "that the origin of specific types is quite distinct from varietal modification"; but this is a cheerful side-thrust, as it were, in a work on quite another subject. On page 36 the use of "Neozoic" as equivalent to "Tertiary" seems unusual; and on page 51 there is a sentence on the origin of fiords, quoted from an earlier paper by the author, which describes them as "often evidences of the action of the waves." They may have nothing to do with glacial excavation, but still less can they be regarded as products of marine erosion, unless the author confines himself to the cases that he has specially examined in Nova Scotia.

G. A. J. C.

## CORRECTIONS.

Vol. V., No. 6, April, 1893, p. 366, line 20 from top, for "\$1.60 to \$2.00" read "\$160 to \$200."

Vol. V., No. 7, July, 1893, p. 433, in title of article and in headings of following pages, for "Cambrian-Siberian" read "Cambrian-Silurian."