
# POOR DOCUMENT

## THE SEMI-WEEKLY TELEGRAPH, ST. JOHN, N B., SATURDAY, SEPTEMBER 29, 1906

## SENSATIONAL EVIDENCE


Mr. Stevenson, Director of Union Trust Company,

Says George W. Fowler Received a Rebate of \$55,000 in



endeavoring to acquire information? Have you any information said to come from any of the parties?" "Only a number of cheques which Mr. Tilley was kind enough to show me. I called upon Mr. Fowler about a week ago, when he first came to the city, and in formed him of what I had heard. He re-plied that he had heard something of it, but that he had no information when the transaction was made." Mr. Shepley read an agreement in June 18, 1604, between Geo. W. Fowler, of Sus-sex; William Irwin, of Peterboro, and George McCormick, of Kamloops, with the Union Trust Company thus formed show-ed capitalization to be \$500,000, divided as follows: Geo. W. Fowler, 1,250 shares, or \$10,000; George E. Foster. Lieut.-Col. Davidson, E. G. Stevenson, Dr. Oromiya, steks, a Lieut.-Col. McGillivray, 10 shares, or \$10,000 each, to qualify them as trus-tees; and Union Trust Company, 2,200 shares, or \$250,000. Mr. Shepley read a copy of an agree.

THE VICES OF ENGLISH SOCIETY



Mr. Stevenson also gave interesting evi-dence in relation to the probable out-come of the Northwest land epeculations on which there will probably be a profit "Yes." sent." Institute of the Kamloops property, Mr. Shepley—"The Union Trust eided to get out of it entirely?" Issue of the Kamloops property, which we are now negotiating, will give us a profit of \$75,000." Fowler Put Up No Capital. Mr. Fowler's Terms.

of \$400.000

of \$400,000. **Beorge W. Fowler's Deals.** The transactions by which the Kamp loops Lumber Company was organized. the Union Trust Company was organized with a capital of \$200,000 to dispose of the lum-the Union Trust. Mr. Fowler's stock to the relaxed from a note for \$185,000 for his part in the original investment before the surrendered his stock. "What was the first intimation you re-cived that \$225,000 was not the true con-sideration?" "About one month ago when my son, who was in the west, sent me a copy of a Vancouver paper. "The report purported to be the testi-



ne assembly the prelates discussed these ints, not without exciting interludes and one acrimonious debate: (1) Under what onditions shall the present parish ve-Separation Law ormes into operation, Separation Law ormes into operation, December 11, next? (2) How, and by what nethod shall they be replaced (3) Shall he clergy abandon the churches on De-sember 11 voluntarily, or shall they await he natural course of events? (4) How is while weaking to be operated without lic worship to be organized without public worship to be organized whenout violating the law-by public assemblages, by private collecting meetings or by ab-solute private meetings? In regard to the most vital of these problems late des-patches indicate that the churches will be abandoned.



PALACE

