

Mr. M. C. Ellis, of P. W. Ellis & Co., jewelers, &c., Toronto, is the expert manufacturer of "Solid Gold" rings, made out of one part of gold to two parts of brass or base metal. He swore that P. W. Ellis & Co. sold the Watch Case Company's Cases, and found no fault with them; and that it was quite proper and honest to add twenty-three parts of brass to *one part of gold*, make it into rings and watch-cases, and stamp them "Solid Gold." He is another loyal Tory manufacturer, who shouts from the stump "Canada for the Canadians!" a member of the Toronto Board of Trade and the manufacturers' combine.



M. C. ELLIS.

with the same class of "*so-called*" solid gold rings, watch cases, etc., which were at least two-thirds brass. Those "two beauties," Ellis and Anderson, readily swore that it was the *custom of the trade*, etc., to stamp plated watch-cases, rings, etc., "Warranted 14k," or that contained only one part of gold to ten parts of brass, as "solid gold."

Mr. Ellis' testimony, of course, did not surprise those who knew he was a "National Policy fed" manufacturer; and Mr. Anderson's testimony did not startle those who knew that Mr. McNaught, the father of those snide cases, held a string on the finances of A. C. Anderson & Co..

But the plaintiff company did not call Mr. Edmund E. Scheuer, wholesale jeweller, who had in the former trial admitted "*he too*" had been deceived in the company's so-called gold cases.

Neither did the company call Prof. Latimer, the expert assayer, who, in the former trial, admitted that the company's so-called solid 14k. gold cases stamped "A. W. C. Co. and Matze Cross," which he assayed, were not 14-k, as stamped.

When the evidence was all in, Mr. Doll stated to the Judge that he had never conducted a case in court before, much less address a jury. He would ask his Lordship to adjourn the court for an hour in order that he might pull his nerves together and prepare his address, having been examining and cross-examining witnesses for nearly a week; but even this scant courtesy was denied him, and he proceeded with his address, but was repeatedly interrupted by the opposing lawyers on technical points, which, though legal, must have been very annoying.

Mr. Lount, Q.C., who followed for the company, was more fortunate on the technical points, although stopped by Mr. Doll for uttering two startlingly false statements.

Judge Rose (much to the astonishment of those who were ignorant of his Lordship's strong N. P. leaning), charged strongly against Mr. Doll and his Free Trade and anti-National