

of aid and that he believes that for some private reason the movement of the insurgents under Riel, Bruce and Lepine was thoroughly in accord with their own wishes.”

And now, Mr. Speaker, as regards that clause in the Supplementary Estimates, which asks this country for \$40,000 to relieve the sufferers from the recent rebellion at Red River. I may say that this is a point which specially pleases me. I am perfectly well aware that this government might, had it so chosen, waited to ask the country for an amount for this purpose, till an investigation had been made, till evidence had been taken, till a Commission had sat. Yet, without any undue pressure that I am aware of, they propose to spend this sum in this very laudable way, and I am disposed to look upon it, as an earnest, that the Government intends to deal fairly, possibly even liberally with the loyal sufferers of last winter. I may say also now that we are discussing the subject that at a time when this Government was accused of having no sympathy with the Red River loyalists they did that which saved me, at least, from utter ruin and inaugurated a principle which, by this clause in the supplementary estimates they seem determined to carry out. I have also since learned, Sir, that this relief to myself, which I speak of, was not afforded without a considerable stretch of legal authority, and that a Minister of the Crown even became personally responsible for the amount. Well, the conclusion that I feel forced to come to, after a considerable degree of doubt on this matter, is, that in this matter at least, the Government are not so utterly heartless as has sometimes been represented and if the indemnity proposed, be confined to these and these only, who are known to have lost their property or their time for the sake of loyalty to the Crown, it will tend to reassure that class of sufferers in Manitoba who now consider that they have been neglected and disregarded.—*(Applause.)*

Hon. Sir FRANCIS HINCKS said none of the money would be paid to the Hudson's Bay Company under any circumstances.

Hon. Mr. McDOUGALL (Lanark North) said there was a distinction between the Company and the representatives of that Company.

Hon. Sir FRANCIS HINCKS said he included every one connected with the Company in the statement he had made.

The amendment was put and the vote taken as follows—Yeas 24, Nays 54.

YEAS

Messieurs

Bowell	Cartwright
Drew	Holmes
Hurdon	Jones (Leeds North and Grenville North)
Macdonald (Glengarry)	McDonald (Middlesex West)
Mackenzie	McDougall (Lanark North)
McMonies	Oliver
Perry	Ross (Dundas)
Ross (Prince Edward)	Ross (Wellington Centre)
Smith (Selkirk)	Snider
Stephenson	Walsh
Wells	White (Hastings East)
Willson	Wood—24

NAYS

Messieurs

Archambault	Barthe
Bellerose	Benoit
Bourassa	Brousseau
Cameron (Inverness)	Cameron (Peel)
Caron	Cartier (Sir George-É.)
Cimon	Costigan
Crawford (Brockville)	Currier
Daoust	Delorme (Provencher)
Dufresne	Dunkin
Forbes	Fortin
Gaucher	Gendron
Godin	Gray
Heath	Hincks (Sir Francis)
Holton	Howe
Keeler	Lacerte
Langevin	Langlois
McDonald (Lunenburg)	Masson (Soulanges)
Masson (Terrebonne)	McDougall (Trois-Rivières)
McKeagney	Moffatt
Morris	Morrison (Niagara)
O'Connor	Pinsonneault
Pope	Ray
Robitaille	Ross (Champlain)
Ross (Victoria)	Shanly
Simard	Simpson
Street	Tilley
Tourangeau	Tupper—54.

Item carried.

The following items were then carried: Refund of duties to Gooderham & Worts \$2,309.34.

Cost and damages in case of Kinnear Bros. vs. Robinson \$8,436.41.

Canal Commission \$10,000.00.

On the item of \$200,000 to pay amount further required in connection with North West, **Hon. Mr. HOLTON** asked for information as to the objects for which the vote was required.

Hon. Sir FRANCIS HINCKS said the original vote was intended for the organization of the North West and opening of communication and formation of Government. An expedition however was found necessary and a vote had to be asked, and the whole matter was so complicated that with every endeavour he was unable to say how the matter would stand at the end of the year.

He stated the cost of the Civil Government, the Public Works, and the Military Expedition, and said about \$100,000 more would be required for the Military Expedition. The only other expenditure would be in public works in opening communication this year and they found it necessary to ask another vote in order to give them a sufficient margin.

Hon. Mr. HOLTON objected that such an amount should be asked as a mere vote of credit without giving any particulars as to the work to be done.

Mr. MACKENZIE asked for information as to the public works to be undertaken.