

Mr. KLEIN: Is it not a rule that when a motion is passed one day saying that we could proceed, it is out of order to make a motion thereafter saying that we should not proceed?

Mr. OLLIVIER: It is just like when it is moved that the house go into committee, you always ask for permission to sit again at the call of the Chair and to report to the house. The fact that somebody has spoken after the motion was carried indicates that you have proceeded. So I think it is in order for you to move that the matter be not proceeded with.

Mr. CAMERON (*Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands*): I wondered if there is any method by which this committee in reporting to the house can at the same time urge study of this particular question with a view to further legislative action? I would be reluctant to see this bill reported out, just like that.

Mr. OLLIVIER: Yes, you can add a recommendation that this bill be not further proceeded with but that consideration should be given to further study of its implication.

Mr. CAMERON (*Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands*): By whom?

Mr. OLLIVIER: That is up to you.

Mr. AIKEN: I moved a motion, and Mr. Cameron has moved one.

The CHAIRMAN: I have the motion here: moved by Mr. Aiken seconded by Mr. Nugent that this committee report to the house that Bill C-5 be not further proceeded with.

Mr. CAMERON (*Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands*): I move that there be a rider added to it recommending further study of the subject matter of this bill with a view to the introduction later on of further legislation.

Mr. OLLIVIER: By the government, if you like.

Mr. CAMERON (*Nanaimo-Cowichan-The Islands*): That further study be made by the government.

Mr. OLSON: There is a point of order here in accepting that motion, and it arises out of the citation from the 16th edition of May's Parliamentary Practice at page 655 where it says:

Accordingly, the Chairman of a standing committee will not accept a motion that the committee do not proceed further with the consideration of a bill from a member who is not in charge of the bill, nor will he accept a motion for reporting a bill to the house before its consideration has been completed by the committee . . .

It seems to me that this committee, or the Chairman cannot even accept this motion until the committee first decides that it has completed its study of the matter referred to it. I do not know how to get around this, but it seems to me that we are contravening this citation.

Mr. AIKEN: I agree with Mr. Olson on the point raised. But I thought it was clear that the committee could bring its deliberations to a conclusion at any time.

Mr. OLLIVIER: If the committee does not think it has completed its study, it can vote against the motion. But if you vote for the motion, it indicates that you consider that you have completed your studies.

The CHAIRMAN: We are now speaking to the point of order raised by Mr. Olson.

Mr. GRAY: I think Dr. Ollivier answered that if we can interpret this as meaning that we have finished our study, we can have one motion. That is Dr. Ollivier's opinion. But we may need two motions: one that we complete our consideration of the bill forthwith, or by a certain date, and if that passed,