

As of the present date there is not readily available to Members the equipment required to print out the material contained on the film negative. I might say that even if such equipment were available it is still very doubtful that a return in the form now proposed would be acceptable without a special order of the House or without a change in our rules.

It may be that at some time in the future the House will consider it expedient to provide for the submission of return in this form. But this is looking into the future. For the moment I must rule that the Return is not in proper form and does not comply with the Order of the House.

Pursuant to Standing Order 39(4), the following Question was made an Order of the House for a Return:

No. 317—*Mr. Forrestall*

1. In the period commencing April 23, 1968 and ending July 25, 1969, how many women have been appointed to a position or otherwise employed, other than under the provisions of sections 8 and 9 of the Public Service Employment Act, by the government under conditions of remuneration equivalent to a salary of \$10,000 or more per year.

2. Who are they?

3. By whom were they employed and what was the rank of their position?

4. How many men were appointed or otherwise employed under the same conditions during the same period of time?—Sessional Paper No. 2/317.

Mr. Forest, Parliamentary Secretary to the President of the Privy Council, presented,—Return to the foregoing Order.

Notices of Motions for the Production of Papers Nos. 14, 24, 26, 27, 32, 34, 37, 41, 53, 54, 60, 76, 80, 83, 133, 149, 157, 163, 164, 166-169 inclusive, 187-193 inclusive, 197, 198, 201, 213, 218, 220, 228, 229, 232, 233, 245, 248-250 inclusive, 252-254 inclusive, 258, 263, 272, 282, 285, 288-290 inclusive, 292, 293, 299, 306, 307, 309, 311, 313, 319, 320, 322-324 inclusive, 327, 331, 332, 334, 339, 340, 342, 345, 346, 349-357 inclusive, 360-362 inclusive, 365, 367-379 inclusive were allowed to stand at the request of the government.

Ordered,—That there be laid before this House a copy of the consultant report by DNS Incorporated on the world demand for aerospace products undertaken for the Department of Industry, Trade and Commerce in the fiscal year 1965-66, as mentioned in answer to Question Number 1,323 of the past Session.—(*Notice of Motion for the Production of Papers No. 214—Mr. Sko-berg*).

Bill C-133, An Act to repeal the Deep Sea Fisheries Act, as reported (without amendment) from the Standing Committee on Fisheries and Forestry, was concurred in at the report stage.

Mr. Davis, seconded by *Mr. Macdonald* (Rosedale), moved,—That the said bill be now read a third time and do pass.

And debate arising thereon;