• After extensive discussion, seminar participants expressed qualified support for the **negotiation of bilateral agreements to facilitate trade, including shipping and cargo reservation clauses**, where these are absolutely necessary. Support was qualified insofar as a majority felt that bilateral agreements, while they appeared to be successful in aviation matters, should be used to facilitate shipping only where it was clear that it would take a documented agreement to achieve stability in trade and shipping relations.

Mr. John Anderson, Traffic Manager — Newsprint Division, James MacLaren Industries presented the conclusions of the seminar series in response to the question:

Can we improve the interface between Canadian industry and government and the present process of Canadian response in addressing trade problems related to shipping?

Conclusions

- There was unanimous agreement that industry-government consultation on matters relating to the interface of trade and maritime transport could and should be improved. This was regarded as being an essential prerequisite to maintaining Canada's competitive position in international trade.
- As an urgent priority, seminar participants expressed unanimous support for the early implementation of Recommendation 4 of the Task Force on Deep-Sea Shipping which suggests government create an advisory board or council consisting of representatives from industry, labour and government to monitor the international shipping environment on an ongoing basis.
- As an immediate measure, seminar participants agreed that existing mechanisms for consultation through industry associations and, in particular, the Canadian Exporters' Association (CEA) and Canadian Shippers' Council should be enhanced.
- Seminar participants noted that some industry

- associations have not given sufficient attention to the **importance of access to efficient**, **price competitive transportation services** as a factor in maintaining Canadian exporters' competitiveness in international tradeparticularly with the LDC's.
- The need for less ad-hocery and more formal industry-government consultation was emphasized as a priority by seminar participants. They also urged government to identify a formal channel for reporting instances of restrictive shipping practices affecting Canadian trade. It was the consensus recommendation of seminar participants that this formal channel be established through the Department of External Affairs, International Trade Branch.

Mr. Joe Howard, President, Alberta Intermodel Services Limited, summarized the response of the seminar series to the question:

Is the present situation manageable or is it damaging Canadian trade and international relations? Should we be more active in protecting our trade?

Conclusions

- Seminar participants unanimously favoured commercial solutions as "the first choice of industry" as a means to manage and resolve maritime transportation problems which inhibit Canadian trade.
- In the opinion of seminar participants the question of whether a given transportation problem adversely affecting trade is manageable must be decided on a **case by case basis**. The first judge of whether the situation is manageable on solely commercial terms, or requires government intervention, must be the Canadian industry which is directly affected.
- However, seminar participants recognized that when satisfactory solutions are not attainable by purely commercial means, particularly when the problem results from the intervention of governments of our trading partners, there is a clear requirement for a more active role for the Canadian government in support of Canada's vital trade interests.