

could be found of continuing to publish the non-official material it contains: the views of opposition parties as expressed in major Parliamentary debates; the proceedings of some of the Parliamentary sub-committees; the foreign policy sections of labour organization briefs to the Cabinet.

Prior to my Toronto visit our Chairman had speculated whether, if we were to put out a record, "*International Canada's*" deficit might not be cut by eliminating duplicating material, shortening the publication, and reducing the number of issues.

Mrs. Magee agreed that given an official record, certain items would probably disappear from "*International Canada*"; for example, the section which appears in the December issue each year regarding Canadian voting at the U.N.; the full text each June of the NATO meeting declaration; the budget figures regarding federal department foreign aid grants; official visit communiqués. Cutting out these and other items might result in reducing the volume of published material by perhaps 10% - an inconsequential saving. And Mrs. Magee agreed that publishing six times a year instead of eleven might have some merit. But she doubted if these measures would substantially reduce "*International Canada's*" deficit. According to her, the big cost involved is typesetting or electric machine typing, and here the savings wouldn't be large.

No one I have talked with either here or in Toronto thinks that "*International Canada*" could navigate if it were to leave the official side of the record to External and restrict its publication to only those non-official items which are so highly regarded in some quarters. There's general