

and of its remedial and curative-treatment are such as justify and, indeed, demand that it be taken from this chaotic mass and be given a distant identity. Let *this* be neurasthenia. We shall simply give back to Caesar what was his, lost property to the original owner. It is but the restoration of the birth-right. How the thief will cover his nakedness is his problem, not ours.

I have but borrowed for a purpose my title, and having explained my motive, I discard it. And yet it is not altogether bad. That it has the ring of cheap sensationalism is a just criticism, though nothing was further from my mind, a disavowal which I hope has been anticipated and is accepted. In much that the condition that I have in mind represents, in much that is peculiar to this affection—to neurasthenia—the term, the American disease, is both accurate and appropriate. As I conceive it, it is an American disease indigenous to this soil and essentially a product of causative conditions peculiar to this country. That it now exists elsewhere, and probably always did in sporadic form I do not doubt, but this is its home, this its soil, this the atmosphere in which it luxuriates. What is this disease? What are its symptoms? How differentiate it? What is its etiology and prognosis, and how is it to be treated? My limit of time will permit me to create the scheme of the picture only, but if the viewpoint be the proper one and the perspective liberal in breadth, any one of my audience will, I am sure, be able to do the filling in. I would count my work well done and a good end accomplished if I did no more than infect you with the enthusiastic interest with which the subject inspires me. In the effort to do so I shall create part of the perspective referred to. First as to your material: Neurasthenia never occurs in fools. The idea constitutes a paradox. Neurasthenia may make a fool, but you cannot make a fool a neurasthenic. It is a disease of bright intellects, its victims are leaders and masters of men, each one a captain of industry. Each case is unique as a study if you are to study helpfully. There are no arbitrary limits to the horizon of studious effort. The political history of the world has been made largely by paranoiacs. Mahomet, Peter the Hermit and Oliver Cromwell are examples in point, to go back no farther. In each there was an imperative and an impelling monomania. The world of literature, of art and of science, of fruitful endeavor in all higher fields, is indebted in an analogous degree to the neurasthenic, analogously endowed with an imperative and an impelling energy. Dr. Gould's list includes such names as Carlyle, Wagner, Huxley, Spencer and many others. The confidence, the faith of patients of this type, is to be classed as an inspiring stimulus in itself and is well worth the struggle to grasp understandingly this subject. That yours is the helping hand depen-