Ig it won to newly-independent states and to smaller states was verthel equently stressed. This debate may have contributed to be eventual addition of new members. Even the two e develoreas, the Holy See, Switzerland, Liechtenstein and San probletarino, although presently not members of the UN, sent countign level representatives to speak.

orld, of The dynamic and real achievements of the specialized sing prencies, particularly the WHO, UNICEF and UNHCR, for a rere often used as illustrations. Many speakers gave strong xpoundpoort to UNICEF's new program to immunize all chil-

ren by the 1990s. A few, like Canada, spoke on the subject I needs some length, stressing the usefulness of the UN system he humr such activities. Other achievements were mentioned, that earticularly in the areas of peacekeeping and human rights consend the codification of international law. Many attributed ter mathe UN a role in the fact that the world has not seen a on whoolal conflagration or a nuclear conflict in forty years.

fost stressed the need to bring the arms race to a halt and achieve disarmament, but surprisingly perhaps, this was of one of the main themes of the debate.

In this debate, peace and security were broadly despokened. They included many economic, social and security achieveactors, not just the absence of war. Specific issues in this ago of treat were not examined, with speakers for the most part 45. Oychoing the Prime Minister of Ireland who said, "There is to to toward world order to which all feel obliged to pay at least the Up-service and which in greater or lesser degree constrains ce of the action of States."

Vays to improve

There were several evaluations of the UN itself. Many ecounted the large amount of positive work done by the

United Nations system but some went on to consider the shortcomings. They called for more support to the UN, for more authority to the Secretary-General, for more consensus in the Security Council. They paid particular attention to the financial arrangements of the UN and lamented the loss of revenue caused by States not paying their share or by paying late. They collectively stressed the need to make the organization more efficient and effective. But many did not blame the United Nations itself for its shortcomings. On this Fortieth Anniversary there were calls from many sides for countries to exert the "political will" to allow the UN to function better, recognizing that the ultimate blame for failure lay not with the organization but with the Member States.

The biggest beneficiary of the fortieth anniversary debate was the United Nations itself. Scores of media personnel from every corner of the globe descended on the General Assembly, creating an unprecedented profile for the organization although many devoted their attention to superficial problems like the traffic-jams created by too many limousines. The gratuitously maligned image of the UN may have reached its nadir and began in October 1985 a long and much needed recovery.

At the commemoration of the UN's founding I doubt that any new solutions were discovered for the most pressing problems of today. But the leaders of the world, forced by their mere presence, were listening to each other. At least for two weeks. Perhaps they also renewed their faith to serve what the Secretary-General called, "the single, collective constituency of the human race."