

No.	Name.	Date.	SUBJECT.	Page
120	To Earl of Lytton ..	Dec. 17, 1888	French proceedings at White Bay. Refers to No. 115. French Government seem to have misunderstood the nature of the protest of Her Majesty's Government, which is directed against the establishment of a lobster "factory," and against the concession of an exclusive licence to fish for lobsters granted to a French citizen ..	188
121	M. Waddington .. ..	15,	Mr. Shearer's lobster factory at Port Saunders. Rejoinder to No. 118. Renews protest. Lobsters are "fish," and right to "dry" means right to "prepare" fish for exportation. Arguments in favour of the contention that French alone can judge what constitutes an "interference" with their fishermen ..	188
122	Earl of Lytton .. ..	21,	Has addressed a note to French Government in the sense of No. 120 ..	194
123	" .. ..	25,	Transmits report of a debate in the French Senate on the Newfoundland fisheries. M. Goblet's declaration that French had undoubted right to fish for lobsters ..	194
124	M. Waddington .. ..	26,	Marking of vessels. Reply to No. 117. Provisions of the Act of 1854 do not apply to the most important, namely, the smallest vessels. The recommendation addressed to the Newfoundland Government may not be complied with. French Government would prefer a definite order being given for the compulsory marking of all fishing-vessels ..	198
125	To Colonial Office ..	Jan. 3, 1889	Transmits copy of above. Desirability of the Newfoundland Government adopting some measure of the nature indicated by French Government ..	199
126	Colonial Office .. ..	26,	Transmits copy of Admiralty letter, inclosing reports of the British naval officers on the fisheries for 1888, including complete report on British and French lobster fisheries ..	200
127	" .. ..	Feb. 18,	Marking of fishing-vessels. Refers to No. 125. Copies of letters from the Board of Trade, with enactments on the subject, and of a despatch to the Governor of Newfoundland urging the importance of legislation thereon ..	223
128	Earl of Lytton .. ..	19,	French lobster factories at White Bay. Further explanations of French Government as to purely temporary character of these establishments. They cannot admit that French fishermen are not entitled to fish for lobsters, but contend, on the contrary, that lobster fishing by British fishermen is against the Treaties ..	224
129	Colonial Office .. ..	Mar. 14,	Suggestions for a renewed protest in answer to above ..	226
130	M. Waddington .. ..	15,	Presses for an answer to No. 121 calling for the suppression of Mr. Shearer's factory, where lobster-pots prevent the French fishermen from fishing for lobsters and other bait necessary for the cod-fishing ..	227
131	To Colonial Office (A) ..	22,	Messrs. Murphy and Andrews' claim against French Government. Refers to Nos. 104 and 129. The Report of the Governor of Newfoundland on the title to the land on which the factory was to be erected should be awaited ..	228
132	" .. (B) ..	Mar. 23,	Marking of vessels and suppression of cod-traps. Inquiries made by French Embassy as to any measures taken by Colonial Government ..	229
133	Lord Knutsford to Sir T. O'Brien	28,	Mr. Shearer's lobster factory and French proceedings at White Bay. Transmits correspondence and recapitulates course of negotiations. British naval officers have been instructed to have Mr. Shearer's lobster-traps removed wherever French fishermen are prevented by them from pursuing their industry. But they are not to be removed simply to make way for French lobster-traps ..	229