believe that those who have believed the rumor are limited to a very narrow circle of persons, who do not know either Mrs. Gale or Mrs. Inglis.

I repeat again, that the statement you made to Dr. Jennings was wholly false—that Mrs. Gale and Mrs. Inglis were not the persons on whose authority Mr. Guinness acted. In leaving you to take what further course you may think best—either to give or to withhold the apology which your conduct requires you to give—I have only to say, that if another letter comes to me in the same tone and spirit as the one which I have just answered, I will effectually put an end to the corespondence, so far as I am concerned.

I am, Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

DAVID INGLIS.

MR. JAMES WALKER TO REV. DAVID INGLIS.

HAMILTON, 16th Nov., 1861.

The Rev DAVID INGLIS.

aly

ion

iny

oť

our

en-

low

int-

rith

rere beat

the

ther

iess. ues-

Mr.

er it

. G.,

with

used

and on'')

Pro-

son's

the

bvent

i, on

'Мг.

of his

nt to

ailing

rgely

But I

o Mr.

doubt y had

is ex-

ure of

most

every e the

ertain

by the

as he

e can

ly the

head,

y des-

REV. SIR,—Your letter of the 13th inst., was received on the 15th., and, in my mind, shews the necessity for a written reply to your note to Dr. Jennings. I have now to say, the statements contained in mine of the 11th I still maintain.

You reply, "The statement you made to Dr. Jennings was wholly false." I confidently assert that I never made a false statement to Dr. Jennings, but expressed to him, as I have done to you, my opinion. You admit that the Rev. Duncan McRuar had told you more than the truth, and that Dr. Jennings confirms the untruthfulness of his statements.

You say that "up to within an hour of the time when the printed correspondence was handed in to my door, neither my family nor myself knew anything of that correspondence." It appears that "your memory does not serve you" on this particular.

I shall notice last what is really the foundation of the first correspondence with Mr. Guinness—how he came to ignore Knox's Church. If this matter had been as well understood on the 13th of May as it is now, I should probably have asked the stranger no more questions.

When, in your conversation with me on the 4th inst., I said we have felt that you did our congregation wrong in making the appointments for Mr. Guinness, by which we were excluded, you replied—"I will tell you "what part I took in this matter; I introduced Mr. Guinness to Dr. "Ormiston, and to no other Minister—other Ministers learning (or hearing) "that Mr. Guinness was here, called and made their own appointments with "him." You made this statement with so much apparent candour that my previous impressions to the contrary were, for the time, entirely removed. I then resolved that if your statement was correct I should feel entirely satisfied, but, on enquiry, I discover that you had attempted to deceive me. You will, perhaps, again exclaim: "Gently, Mr. Walker, gently; you "are treading on slippery ground." Again, you say, "I have no remembrance of this, though I may have done so. The only letter I remember writing on the 4th of May to any Minister in this city was one to the "Rev R. Burnet, and that, so far as my memory serves me, was in reference