

1er p., p. 204. 1856. *Sirey*, 1er p., p. 514. *M. C. R.* p. 68. *Falix*, vol. 1er, p. 85. *Orgood & Steele*, 16 *L. C. J.* p. 141. 8 *L. N.* p. 51. *Aubry et Rhau*, 1er vol. par. 31, p. 97. *Giles vs Faneuf*, 1 *M. L. R.* 1 *S. C.* p. 322. *Giles vs Brock* 5 *L. N.* p. 369. *Dalloz* *vo action*, Nos 273 et 276. *Carré et Chauveau*, vol. 1er p. 128 et 129. *Boistard*, vol. 1er p. 114. *Aubry et Rhau*, 8e vol. p. 133. *Pigeau*, vol. 1er, p. 52. *Giles vs Givoux* 13 *R. L.* 652. *Giles vs Gariepy* 29 *J.* 207.

That a receiver duly appointed and authorized under the laws of Ontario to represent in judicial proceedings a corporation (in liquidation) domiciled in that province, may also appear in his quality of receiver in judicial proceedings before the courts of the province of Quebec.—**TORRANCE J.**, 19 MAY 1885, *Giles vs Faneuf*. **I, S. C., 322.**

3. In damages.—1. *By femme commune.*—Que dans une action en dommages pour torts personnels à une femme mariée sous le régime de la communauté, la femme et son mari peuvent tous deux être demandeurs dans la cause en leur qualité de communs en biens; et le fait que les conclusions demandent que la somme réclamée soit payée à la femme est indifférent.—**JETTÉ, J.**, 30 AVRIL 1887, *Gagnon vs Corporation village St-Gabriel*. **III, S. C., 97.**

2. For unauthorized sale of shares.—That an action of damages setting forth, in effect, that a bank, to which plaintiff had transferred certain shares as collateral security for an advance, had, without right, and against the will of plaintiff, sold the said shares at a third of their value, on purpose to injure the plaintiff is not demurrable because the plaintiff has not offered defendant the alternative to substitute other shares.—**DORION, RAMSAY, CROSS, BABY, JJ.**, 30 DECEMBER 1885, *Gilman vs Campbell*. **II, Q. B., 291.**

CITATIONS.—*Sedgwick, Law of Damages*, p. 391. *Kerr, on actions at Law*, p. 45. *Story, on Bailments*, par. 322, 346 & 349.

4. In dénonciation de nouvel œuvre.—That the action en dénonciation de nouvel œuvre may be taken at any stage in the erection of the works complained of.—**LORANGER J.**, 30 OCTOBER 1888, *Crawford vs Protestant Hospital for the Insane*. **IV, S. C., 215.**

CITATIONS.—*Carter vs Breakey*, 3 *Q. L. R.* 113. *Bourdon vs Benard* 15 *J.* 60. *Kerr, on injunctions* (2nd ed) p. 167. *Bourgouin vs the Montreal Nor-*