

but if he had been following the debate on this Bill he would have realized that the motion I am reading was ruled out of order by the Chair. I am now asking the unanimous consent of the House to put this motion forward, as is the right of any Member on any side, and the Government will be rising to do the same thing. With that, Madam Speaker, I should like to continue.

Mr. Flis: Madam Speaker, I seek your ruling. If you, together with all your Table officers, made a ruling that Motion No. 1 was out of order, and the Hon. Member now, via the back door, wants to introduce it again, he is really challenging your ruling.

Madam Speaker: I am afraid that I cannot allow the Hon. Member to continue reading his motion since that is one I had declared out of order. If the Hon. Member wants to resuscitate that motion, he can do it at another time when the item is called before the House. He cannot do it at this point because we are asking now to waive notice, so the Hon. Member would have to correct the beginning of his intervention. We are not asking the House to give unanimous consent to a motion. Since that motion was declared out of order I am afraid I cannot continue to hear the Hon. Member.

Mr. McKnight: Madam Speaker, as a point of clarification, can you tell me, would that mean that in asking for consent to waive the notice, the motion would have to be put forward at another time? If, in reading this motion, it is found to be different, as it is, to try and assure us that the Government and the Speaker would find it in order, then in my opinion it would be in order to continue to read it, only not in the way that I would be asking the waiving of consent. We would do that at another time if unanimous consent is not allowed for the presentation of this motion.

The point is, Madam Speaker, that until I come to the part in the statement of purpose of this motion that is different from the main part that was ruled out of order, I do not know whether you can say if it was out of order or if Members of the Government would be able to say it was acceptable to them.

Madam Speaker: That motion was ruled out of order, therefore the Hon. Member cannot resuscitate it at this time. If the Hon. Member wants to resuscitate his motion with some changes, first of all the Chair would have to find it admissible, then the Hon. Member could ask anything he wants by unanimous consent. However, in this particular case, I am afraid I cannot continue to hear the Hon. Member.

• (1630)

Mr. McKnight: Madam Speaker, the point I am making is that the basis of this was ruled out of order. The last paragraph has been changed from that which was ruled on by the Table. If the Parliamentary Secretary would like me to read the last paragraph, as he is looking at the original, then I could do so and both he and you would know, and so would all Hon. Members of the House, that there has been a change in the intention of this motion.

Business of the House

Madam Speaker: If we are going to go through all the amendments which I declared out of order, it is going to take some time. If the Hon. Member is telling me now that he is asking to waive notice for a new amendment—

Mr. McKnight: This is new.

Madam Speaker: It is new? The first paragraphs which were read indicate to the Chair that it is the one which I had declared out of order. If I must hear it until the end in order to realize it is a new motion, I suppose I must hear it. However, you understand that I was reluctant to allow Hon. Members to bring back amendments which I had declared out of order. If it is a new one, of course, I have to hear the Hon. Member.

Mr. McKnight: Madam Speaker, I will read you the last part of the amendment so you are assured, as well as Hon. Members of the House. Paragraph (h) reads:

All these being a statement of purpose proposed by the Progressive Conservative Party and has been consistent with the five principles put forth in the initial debating of Bill C-155.

That is the difference, Madam Speaker. Therefore, I contend it is a new motion.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent to waiving the notice on this particular motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Madam Speaker: There is not unanimous consent.

Mr. Cooper: Madam Speaker, I have one motion to which I am sure the Parliamentary Secretary to the Government House Leader will be quite happy to give unanimous consent. I am sure he will understand the importance of it. It is:

That Bill C-155 be amended in Clause 17 by immediately adding after line 25 at page 8 the following:

"17.(5) The Administrator shall examine the feasibility of the utilization of off line elevators on grain-dependent branch lines designated as abandoned by the Commission, or where no grain-designated branch line exists, where, in his opinion, such utilization of off line elevators would be in the best interests of producers."

I am sure the Parliamentary Secretary can see the importance of this motion to one of the unique regions of this country, namely, the Peace country.

Madam Speaker: Is there unanimous consent for this motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Some Hon. Members: No.

Madam Speaker: There is not unanimous consent.

Mr. Schellenberger: Madam Speaker, I would like to ask unanimous consent that I be allowed to put a new amendment before this House, as follows:

That Bill C-155 be amended in Clause 17 by adding immediately after line 25 the following: