

into and report upon divorce in Canada and the social and legal problems relating thereto, namely, the Honourable Senators Aseltine, Baird, Belisle, Bourget, Burchill, Connolly (*Halifax North*), Croll, Fergusson, Flynn, Gershaw, Haig, and Roebuck; and

That a Message be sent to the House of Commons to inform that House accordingly.

The question being put on the motion, it was—  
Resolved in the affirmative.”

May 10, 1966:

“Pursuant to the Order of the Day, the Senate resumed the debate on the motion of the Honourable Senator Roebuck, seconded by the Honourable Senator Croll, for the second reading of the Bill S-19, intituled: “An Act to extend the grounds upon which courts now having jurisdiction to grant divorces *a vinculo matrimonii* may grant such relief”.

The question being put on the motion—

In amendment, the Honourable Senator Connolly, C.P., moved, seconded by the Honourable Senator Hugessen, that the Bill be not now read the second time, but that the subject-matter thereof be referred to the Special Joint Committee on Divorce.

After debate, and—

The question being put on the motion, it was—  
Resolved in the affirmative.”

J. F. MACNEILL,  
Clerk of the Senate.

1. Acts of the Parliament of Canada relating to Divorce.
2. The New System of Parliamentary Divorce.
3. Acts of the Parliament of the United Kingdom relating to Divorce as of July 13, 1879.
4. Contemporary Acts of the Parliament of the United Kingdom Relating to Divorce.

At 1.00 p.m. the Committee adjourned until Tuesday next, July 5th, 1966, at 3.30 p.m.

Attest  
John A. Birds,  
Assistant Chief Clerk of Committee.