

## NAYS

## MESSRS:

Anderson,	Fraser,	MacDonald	Nowlan,
Ashbourne,	Fulton,	(Antigonish-	O'Hurley,
Barbès,	Gardiner,	Guysborough),	Pearkes,
Beaudoin,	Garland,	Macdonald (Kings),	Pearson,
Beech,	Gauthier	Macdonnell	Phillips,
Benidickson,	(Chicoutimi),	(Greenwood),	Pickersgill,
Best,	Gauthier	MacEachen,	Raymond,
Blanchette,	(Portneuf),	MacEwan,	Rea,
Bonnier,	Gingues,	MacInnis,	Ricard,
Brassard,	Green,	MacLean,	Roberge,
Breton,	Habel,	Macquarrie,	Robichaud,
Brisson,	Hales,	MacRae,	Robinson,
Brooks,	Hamilton	McCleave,	Rynard,
Browne	(Notre-Dame-	McGee,	St. Laurent
(St John's West),	de-Grâce),	McGregor,	(Quebec East),
Byrne,	Hamilton	McIvor,	St. Laurent
Campbell	(Qu'Appelle),	McMillan,	(Témiscouata),
(Lambton-Kent),	Hamilton	McPhillips,	Schneider,
Cardin,	(York West),	McWilliam,	Simpson,
Carter,	Harkness,	Maloney,	Sinclair,
Casselman,	Harrison,	Maltais,	Small,
Cathers,	Hees,	Mandziuk,	Smith
Chabot,	Hodgson,	Marler,	(Calgary South),
Chevrier,	Howe,	Martini,	Smith
Chown,	Jones,	Meunier,	(Hastings-
Churchill,	Jorgenson,	Michaud,	Frontenac),
Coates,	Jung,	Milligan,	Stanton,
Comtois,	Kennedy,	Mitchell,	Starr,
Courtemanche,	Kirk,	Monette,	Stick,
Crouse,	Knowles (Norfolk),	Monteith,	Studer,
Deschatelets,	Kucherepa,	Montgomery,	Thomas
Diefenbaker,	Lafontaine,	Morris,	(Middlesex West),
Dinsdale,	Landry,	Morton,	Villeneuve
Dumas,	Leduc (Gatineau),	Muir (Cape	(Glengarry-
English,	Legaré,	Breton North	Prescott),
Fairclough (Mrs.),	Lennard,	and Victoria),	Villeneuve
Fairfield,	Loiselle,	Nesbitt,	(Roberval),
Fleming,		Nielsen,	Wratten—130.
		Noble,	

And the debate continuing on the main motion;

Mr. Zaplitny, seconded by Mr. Schulz, moved in amendment thereto,—That Bill No. 237 be not now read a second time, but that it be resolved that in the opinion of this House consideration should be given to the advisability of introducing at this session legislation making definite and statutory provision for parity prices for agricultural products at levels that will assure to producers their fair share of the national income.

Mr. Harkness raised a point of order to the effect that the amendment was out of order in that it would have the same effect as the amendment which was negatived by the House in this sitting, and also that it did not oppose the principle of the Bill.

## RULING BY MR. SPEAKER

MR. SPEAKER: I thank honourable Members for the assistance they have given me, but they display a considerable difference of opinion. This proposed amendment also displays a great deal of ingenuity in its phraseology. It is