

Dr. SHAW—We should not be willing to ask a committee to do a lot of work for nothing when we are not willing to do our work in the Council for less than we were paid last year.

Dr. MACHELL—It was my intention we should do the work free because we live here, but I have not spoken of the matter to Dr. Emory or Dr. Britton.

Dr. MOORHOUSE—Is it the intention of the committee to visit outside places?

Dr. MACHELL—No.

Dr. MOORHOUSE—I do not see, under those circumstances, what expense they may be put to; they may have to meet for half an hour, but that is all the mover intended.

Dr. BRITTON—There might be half a dozen cases submitted at once, and a lot of evidence to be gone over that would take half a day.

Dr. MOORHOUSE—The Prosecutor will be given authority to undertake certain work on his own responsibility; I think the intention of the mover of this amendment was that the committee should not be put to any great expense or loss of time. I think if they are they should certainly be paid.

Dr. EMORY—The first intimation I had of the proposition was when Dr. Machell read his motion. I for one am quite willing for this year to give my services on that committee gratuitously. It is largely an experiment; we may have nothing to do, but if we find the duties so onerous as to interfere with our professional duties, I am sure that next year the Council will relieve us of them. (Hear, hear.)

Dr. BARRICK—I would like it made perfectly clear in that resolution whether it is just those cases that the Prosecutor does not wish to undertake himself will be submitted, or that all cases will be submitted.

Dr. SANGSTER—I would like to know whether the public Prosecutor has, under existing circumstances, and whether it is intended he shall have under this committee, unlimited power to prosecute and pay for solicitors or counsel in these prosecutions?

Dr. ARMOUR—That is not covered in this resolution. Subsequently it might be arranged, but if this is to be a final disposition of the matter possibly it would be better to add something further to this resolution.

Dr. THORBURN—When the Finance Committee's report is taken up there will be an opportunity given for discussing that.

Dr. BRITTON—I should think the committee would control details of that kind in its conference with the Prosecutor. I think he would be expected to act in all respects under the instructions of that committee when the committee is called on.

Dr. BARRICK—I think the point raised by Dr. Sangster is a very important one. Suppose this committee say, "we think that is a proper case to go on to prosecute," does that give the Prosecutor *carte blanche* to employ counsel and to go into any expense he may see fit, and run this Council into perhaps more expense than ever before? The point is, what control the committee would have in respect to controlling the expense that might be gone into?

Dr. CAMPBELL—It strikes me we had better leave that open now, and on consideration of the report of the Finance Committee, or whatever committee deals with the engaging of a Prosecutor, fix all the details and terms.

Dr. DICKSON—It was the intention of the seconder, and, I think, of the mover, that the Prosecutor should receive all his suggestions in these cases from the committee.

The CHAIRMAN put the motion as follows: "Moved by Dr. Machell, seconded by Dr. Dickson, That the committee mentioned in the fourth clause of the report be composed of the following members, viz.: Drs. Barrick, Emory, Thorburn, Britton and the mover," and on a vote having been taken declared it carried.

Moved by Dr. BRAY, seconded by Dr. MOORE, That Clause 5 as amended be adopted. Carried.

On motion, the committee rose and reported the adoption of the report as amended.

The committee rose, the President in the chair.

Moved by Dr. WILLIAMS, seconded by Dr. BRAY, That the report as amended be adopted.

Dr. SANGSTER—I want to ask the Committee on Discipline whether they have received any communications or taken any action on any communications *re* Dr. McCully, who is under suspended sentence by this Council. He is, I believe, at present in Milwaukee. Some months ago I was almost deluged with marked newspapers sent from the city of Milwaukee, containing portraits of Dr. McCully, and large advertisements in which he flourished the fact that he was "none of your doctors that were ex-flunkeyfied,"—or some such word—"by the Medical Councils, and I would like to know what Dr. McCully's standing is with this Council now, or if he is to be suffered to travel through the United States as a member of this College, and to flourish that fact in connection with his advertisements. If such is the case, then the sooner the Discipline Committee revoke his suspension the better. I have just now been handed by the Prosecutor one of his advertisements in which Dr. McCully says after the most flourishing heading, "Young men, have you sapped your vitality by vicious habits, is your manhood almost gone, your nervous system nearly wrecked, is your memory bad, your