The following report from the Editor-in-Chief, upon the work of reporting, was presented by the Reporting Committee: "The work of reporting is in a forward state. In the Court of Appeal, there are eleven cases unreported, one of October and ten of this month. In the High Court, Mr. Harman has two, one of September and one of October. Mr. Lefroy has five, two of October and three of this month. Mr. Boomer has seven, two of October and five of this month. Mr. Brown has also seven, five of October and two of this month. There are five practice cases unreported, one of April, mislaid in Court and only lately found, three of October and one of November."

Mr. S. S. Sharpe was then called to the Bar (with honors.) Mr. H. M. German was then called to the Bar.

Dr. Hoskin, from the Discipline Committee, moved the adoption of the report of that committee in the matter of the complaint of Emerson W. Nelles against Mr. Fergus J. Travers, barrister and solicitor, which had on 23rd November last been ordered to be taken into consideration to-day. The report of the committee was then read. It sets out at length the complaint, and concludes as follows: "5. From the facts brought out in the said investigation, your committee find that for valuable consideration by him received from the said Nelles he (Mr. Travers) undertook to induce Mitchell to forbear prosecuting Massey for a felony, supposed or alleged by the said Massey to have been committed, and are of opinion that the said Fergus J. Travers has been guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor."

The secretary then reported that he had, pursuant to order, personally served Mr. Fergus J. Travers, the solicitor complained of, and also Mr. Vandervoort, counsel for the complainant, each with a copy of the report in question, and with a notice in writing informing them that action would be taken by Convocation on the complaint to-day, and that he had, on the 29th day of November last, issued notices to all Benchers of the meeting to-day, specially called for the purpose of taking such report into consideration.

Counsel for the respective parties being in attendance were called in, Mr. T. A. Hunt as counsel for Mr. Travers and Mr. M. P. Vandervoort as counsel for complainant. Mr. Hunt being asked whether Mr. Travers was in attendance, replied that he had advised Mr. Travers that it was unnecessary that he (Mr. Travers) should appear personally at this meeting of Convocation, and that he (Mr. Hunt) appeared for Mr. Travers. Mr. Hunt addressed Convocation on behalf of Mr. Travers. Mr. Vandervoort also addressed Convocation. Counsel then withdrew.

Dr. Hoskin, seconded by Mr. Bell, moved the adoption of the report.

Moved in amendment by Hon. S. H. Blake, seconded by Mr. Robinson, and carried: "That the said report be amended by striking out clause 5 thereof, and substituting in place thereof: 'That the said Fergus James Travers obtained improperly and by extortion the moneys and security referred to in the said petition, and has throughout the transaction in question been guilty of professional misconduct and conduct unbecoming a barrister and solicitor.'"

Ordered that the report as so amended be adopted.

Mr. Hunt, counsel for Mr. Travers, was then re-called and informed of the amendment so made. Upon being asked whether he had anything further to say on behalf of Mr. Travers, he stated that he had nothing more to add, but would leave the matter in the hands of Convocation. Counsel then withdrew.

Resolved unanimously—the following gentlemen, Benchers of the Law