

4. The case of *Donald Alexander Smith* and *Sedley Blanchard*, bribers, and *Jean Baptiste Lapointe*, *Elzéar Lafemodière*, *Louis Deschambeault*, *L. J. A. Levecque*, *J. A. N. Provencher*, *Alexander Begg* and *A. F. De Gagnier* or *Gauthier*, as bribees, and numbered twenty-six in the particulars of the allegations contained in the Petition herein; and the said Appeal having come on to be heard before this Court on the twelfth and thirteenth days of May, A. D., 1880, in presence of Counsel as well for the Appellants as the Respondent, whereupon and upon hearing what was alleged by Counsel aforesaid, this Court was pleased to direct that the said Appeal should stand over for judgment, and the same having come on this day for judgment, this Court *did order, adjudge and determine* that the said Appeal should be and the same was allowed with costs to be paid by the said Respondent to the said Appellants.

And this Court *did further order, adjudge and determine* as follows:

1. That the said *Donald Alexander Smith* was not duly returned or elected, and that the said election was void.

2. That so far as appeared by the said Appeal limited as aforesaid no corrupt practice has been proved to have been committed by or with the knowledge and consent of any candidate at such election.

3. That the said *Elias George Conklin*, an agent of the said Respondent at said Election, did hire a certain team and vehicle to convey voters to the Poll or to the neighborhood thereof and did pay one *Mason* for the hire of such his team for the purpose of conveying voters to the Poll or the neighborhood thereof at said Election, whereby the said *Elias George Conklin* offended against the 96th section of the Dominion Elections Act, 1874; and that under the 98th section of said Act, the said *Elias George Conklin* was guilty of a wilful offence against said section 96, which wilful offence is declared to be a corrupt practice within the meaning of the Act.

4. That so far as appears by the said Appeal limited as aforesaid corrupt practices were not, nor is there reason to believe that corrupt practices have extensively prevailed at the said Election.

5. That the sum of one hundred dollars (\$100) deposited by the said Appellants as security for the costs of said Appeal, be repaid to them.

6. That the original record in the above cause be re-transmitted by the Registrar of this Court to the proper officer of the Court below from whom the same was received.

Certified,

ROBERT CASSELS, Jr.,

Registrar, S.C.C.

The Hon. Joseph G. Blanchet,

Speaker of the House of Commons of Canada, Ottawa.

Mr. Speaker informed the House, That in conformity with the Act 37 Victoria, Chapter 10, Section 36, he had issued his Warrants to the Clerk of the Crown in Chancery to make out new Writs of Election for the said Electoral Districts of Ontario and Selkirk.

Mr. Speaker also informed the House, That he had received the following notifications of vacancies which had occurred in the representation of the Electoral Districts of West Toronto, Bromé, Québec (County), Bagot, Oxford (North Riding, Montmorency and Joliette; and that he had issued his Warrants to the Clerk of the Crown in Chancery to make out new Writs of Election for the said Electoral Districts.

DOMINION OF CANADA:—To Wit:

To the Hon. J. G. Blanchet,

Speaker of the House of Commons:

We, the undersigned Members of the House of Commons, hereby notify you that a vacancy hath occurred in the House of Commons for the Electoral District of West-