

The result of this inspection appears to justify complaints and may lead to further inspection in the future. It is, however, greatly to be desired, that towns and villages of any considerable size should undertake for themselves the inspection of their milk supplies. So much of the value of milk as a food depends upon the conditions of its production, the healthy character of the cows, the cleanliness of stables, utensils, etc., and the care taken in its delivery, that occasional inspection, such as can be given from Ottawa, can never compete in satisfactoriness or efficiency with the continuous and systematic inspection that is possible under local authority.

The results of the inspection now reported may be summarized as follows:—

Up to government standard. . . . .	22	samples.
Genuine milk, but dirty. . . . .	3	"
Nearly meet the standard and may be passed. . . . .	8	"
Below standard. . . . .	26	"
Total. . . . .	59	"

Among the twenty-six cases of adulteration, some are much worse than others, and I would specially point out the following cases as meriting penalty:—

	Fat Solids.	Non fat Solids.	Total Solids.
No. 2370. . . . .	2.89	7.61	10.50
" 2377. . . . .	2.73	7.00	9.73
" 2806. . . . .	1.09	7.38	8.47
" 62374. . . . .	3.51	6.33	9.84
" 62375. . . . .	3.38	6.48	9.86

I beg to recommend publication of this report as Bulletin No. 298.

I have the honour to be, Sir,  
Your obedient servant,

A. MCGILL,  
*Chief Analyst.*