

cost for the Canadian fleet, it is estimated that Canadians will have a potential benefit savings of over \$100 million per year.

Therefore, the motion of the Hon. Member for Crowfoot (Mr. Malone) is subject to approval and should be passed.

[*Translation*]

Mr. David Kilgour (Parliamentary Secretary to Minister of Transport): Mr. Speaker, I intend to comment very briefly on the motion presented by the Hon. Member for Crowfoot (Mr. Malone). I strongly support this motion, and I believe all other Members of this House would agree with me.

[*English*]

I will ensure that I sit down before the time expires so that the motion can be passed. The Hon. Member for Crowfoot (Mr. Malone) has worked on this matter for some six or seven years, as pointed out by the Member for Saskatoon East (Mr. Ravis). He has promoted the matter by writing letters and doing all those things required of a good Member of Parliament. He would be too modest to say that his idea was stolen by the Department and announced recently as being an initiative of the Minister of Transport (Mr. Crosbie), who I am sure would want it noted clearly that the Member for Crowfoot is the one who has pushed for this measure, in the best tradition of a Private Member in the House.

If the Members we heard today, including the Member for Crowfoot, the Member for Winnipeg North (Mr. Orlikow), the Member for Papineau (Mr. Ouellet), the Member for Edmonton East (Mr. Lesick), the Member for St. Catharines (Mr. Reid), and the Member for Saskatoon East could work together every day on matters like this it would be a joy to be a Member of Parliament.

I hope the Member for Crowfoot will take up the issue of shoulder straps if we can agree that the evidence is increasingly strong that safety belts without shoulder straps are not a deterrent. If the member takes this issue on with the same tenacity, hopefully the day will quickly come when the Department will demand that motor vehicle manufacturers include shoulder straps as well as seat belts. There is an indication that a child wearing a seat belt without a shoulder strap may be better off not wearing a seat belt at all. If that is true, hopefully shoulder straps will become a policy as well.

As was indicated by the Member for Edmonton East, there are approximately 700,000 collisions every year in Canada involving motor vehicles, pedestrians, and bicycles. Those collisions which we mistakenly call accidents, claim about 4,000 lives a year and produce more than 200,000 injuries. The fact that many of these accidents occurred because someone has not seen another vehicle seems to make the case overwhelming for supporting the motion before the House today.

It has also been made clear that Transport Canada is in the process of issuing a regulation that will require daytime running lights on all new passenger cars, buses and trucks from

the 1990 model year onward. If that had been done seven years ago when the Member began pushing for this measure, how many lives and injuries may have been saved?

[*Translation*]

Mr. Jean-Robert Gauthier (Ottawa—Vanier): Mr. Speaker, I want to say just a few words in support of this motion and I certainly do not intend to take up the rest of our speaking time.

I just want to join all the Members of this House in commending the Hon. Member for Crowfoot (Mr. Malone) for his initiative. I adopted this practice myself some years ago, and now I always have my lights on when I travel by car. As far as I am concerned this is absolutely essential and a very good idea from the point of view of safety. The Hon. Member and the Government are to be commended for introducing this proposal which, if I understand correctly, will be compulsory next year for vehicles built and sold in Canada.

[*English*]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is the House ready for the question?

Some Hon. Members: Question.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is it the pleasure of the House to adopt the motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion (Mr. Malone) agreed to.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

Mr. Mazankowski: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order to indicate to the House that tomorrow will be designated as an allotted day.

Furthermore, I think you will find unanimous consent to agreeing to the following proposal:

That, notwithstanding any Standing or Special Order of the House:

On Thursday, March 12, 1987, at 4.45 p.m., the Speaker will interrupt any proceeding then underway and put, forthwith and successively, without amendment or debate, all questions necessary to dispose of every stage of both Bill C-40, an Act to provide borrowing authority, and Bill C-3, an Act to amend the Radio Act; provided that, no later than 3.45 p.m. the Government will call the Order for resuming the adjourned debate on the motion for third reading of Bill C-3.

And, that, when the House adjourns on Thursday, March 12, 1987, it shall stand adjourned until 11 a.m., Monday, March 16, 1987.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: The House has heard the terms of the motion presented by the Hon. Deputy Prime Minister (Mr. Mazankowski). Is there unanimous consent?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion agreed to.