of the amounts obtained at home, from large corporations or financial interests, because as regards the money which increasingly comes to us, as indicated by the hon. member for Quebec-Montmorency (Mr. Marcoux) a short while ago, from our neighbour country to support the election of a certain political party, I consider that givers of those sums are rewarded long before they put even one cent into the electoral fund of that party.

We have to suffer the consequences because today, we are the victims of those who sold out our economy. We are now faced with that problem, while their only aim was to come into power. Now, we have to look after the administration.

That is why I maintain that this motion should not deal with tangible contributions from abroad made to certain political parties. One can also be interested in contributions from large corporations, but I think that these big corporations have their reward, even if they did not get it before foreign countries got it by way of protection and promises made to them before they invest thousands of dollars in certain party funds.

That is why we, Social Crediters, maintain that those who were elected with the assistance of such party funds are simply the servants of those who invested in these funds, that is the servants of those big corporations or of those foreign countries.

I feel that this motion contains nevertheless a factor of interest to us. It would also serve to protect the small taxpayer who contributes a few dollars to the election fund of parties with real popular appeal. I say "parties with real popular appeal" because I believe that there are parties today which can call themselves democratic, the others being financial parties. Parties with real popular appeal are not dictatorial parties.

I think that this motion is useful in that it will prompt people to make more sacrifices in order to contribute to the funds of small popular parties, as they are called. I hope that in the near future, those parties will teach a lesson to those big financial parties, so that the people will finally see parliament pass measures beneficial to them and not good only for international financial interests.

I believe that the purpose of this motion might contribute to free the people, something we have been fighting for these last 20 years. To that end, it would be necessary to make a few changes in that motion, by including, for instance, a substantial amendment which would ensure that the tax

reduction would benefit mainly the small taxpayer. This way, to start with we could determine the amounts. If ever, as a result of this motion, a bill were presented, it should set the maximum, so that the large companies, as I said earlier, could not benefit by this advantage to cheat the taxpayer even more.

If ever this parliament, following this motion, introduced legislation, it should protect directly all the people of Canada.

The contribution should be established and a receipt issued by the political organization. I do not say by the political party, because here we play so much on the words "political party" that one wonders finally what a political party really is, but a political organization, whether a school, municipal, provincial or federal organization. If someone works toward the election of a man who will work for the good of society, who will join a popular organization to work, not to protect the interests of big companies, but to protect the interests of the citizens, then that citizen is entitled to a receipt, because if we proceed without a receipt, it is useless to talk about a deduction for income tax purposes.

Such a receipt would have a double effect: it would favour the individual who sacrifices what little money he has to put his and his nation's ideas forward, for the protection of society as a whole; furthermore, the practice of issuing a receipt would control certain election funds.

I think that today the control of election funds is becoming more and more imperative, in view of the rise of totalitarian capitalism. I believe that if, tomorrow, we do not take steps to control the election funds of the larger parties, the people will be voting for nothing, because casting a vote will have no meaning in a few years if monetary influence of totalitarian capitalism spreads to the buying of conscience, the buying of election boxes and all the dirty doings which we know occur during an election.

I feel that such a control of campaign funds will become necessary in a few years.

The giving of receipts would be a first move in that direction. To support my point, I should like to say to the house that, in our own ridings, which are not worse than any others, election campaigns cost from \$2,000 to \$2,500 whereas it is reported that from \$60,000 to \$65,000 are considered peanuts in other ridings, as far as an election is concerned.

by including, for instance, a substantial I can assure you that we find that most amendment which would ensure that the tax strange. People ask: "Where can all that