

and a few larger ones at the tips of the femora and patellæ; tibia I. without false articulations, tibia II. with several. Second joint of palpus with small spines beneath, the third and fourth joints about equal.

Southern California. Kindly loaned to me for description by Dr. Geo. Marx.

The remaining species of this genus are as follows:—*L. vittatum*, Say, *L. dorsatum*, Say, *L. nigropalpi*, Wood, *L. exilipes*, Wood, *L. verrucosum*, Wood, *L. ventricosum*, Wood, *L. calcar*, Wood, *L. bicolor*, Wood, *L. politum*, Weed, *L. elegans*, Weed, *L. longipes*, Weed, *L. nigripes*, Weed. All are from the eastern United States except *L. exilipes*, from California; this also occurs near Olympia, Wash. State (Trevor Kincaid).

CORRESPONDENCE.

UNIDENTIFIED BOMBYCIDS.

Sir,—In regard to Mr. Smith's note on page 164 in CANADIAN ENTOMOLOGIST for June, I would say that I am the authority for the reference of *Saligena personata* to *Raphia frater*, and for the fact that now a series of specimens of *Sphida obliquata* are in Brit. Mus. Coll. under the label of "Edema obliqua." I have not the Brit. Mus. lists before me, but this series of apparently bred specimens of *Sphida obliquata*, which I found on my second, were not in Brit. Mus. Coll. at my first visit, when I examined the collection, with a specimen of the moth, for the express purpose of identifying the species. They could not have escaped my notice. They must have been added subsequently to my visit and description. On this first occasion I discovered, quite misplaced, the type of the much more obscure species *Arzama densa*, Walk.; without this identification the name *Arzama* would have hardly been placed in our catalogues. I recognized *Sphida obliquata* as allied to the type of *Arzama densa* at a glance. I examined the *Notodontide* carefully, making several identifications, and the specimens now under *Edema obliqua* were not then there. A reference to our original paper in Tr. Am. Ent. Soc. Phil., will show that I examined the *Notodontians* carefully. The probability is, that the species must remain as catalogued by me, viz.: *Sphida obliquata*, G. & R., when the facts are all known. In cases where specimens are simply stuck without type labels under printed labels in Brit. Mus. Coll., they are not to be taken as Walker's type, when in any way disagreeing with Walker's descriptions. A. R. GROTE, Bremen.