

mass (thank God) to offer for the living and the dead,—the papists have a professed mass for that purpose. Will the Protestant church accept of this mass which she calls damnable idolatry to be abhorred of all christian people?

Then again there are the broad acres of purgatory, every rod of which brings immense revenues to the priests of Rome; will ye have a share in that ye retrograde and unworthy sons of Protestant sires? then we would advise you to go to Rome at once and get your section deeded, for the Protestant church has no interest in that which is owned exclusively by the Pope. Then you can well afford to cry out as you do at present, “down with the church, away with the tythes, hurrah for the robber Gladstone!” Remove the Protestant establishment, for one lot of pur-

gatory 20x30 would bring you in more money in one year than any common tythed parish would in five. And the change, so far as Great Britain is concerned, would give you this advantage,—there would be no earthly power to check you in your apostacy and heresy. You might then fashion the people to your own liking by your priestcraft, you would take the entire charge of their conscience.

Oh, no! Do be sincere for once. When you speak of Union tell the people plainly that you mean union with Rome and the Pope, and that at the expense of the Bible and its Protestantism, and all that is dear and precious to the true christian. Thank God that when the enemy comes in like a flood, the Spirit of the Lord raises up a standard against him.

SUPERFICIAL INFIDELS.

Sir Isaac Newton set out in life a clamorous infidel, but on a nice examination of the evidence of Christianity, he found reason to change his opinion. When the celebrated Dr. Halley was talking infidelity before him, Sir Isaac Newton addressed him in these, or like words: “Dr. Halley, I am always glad to hear you when you speak about astronomy or other parts of mathematics, because that is a subject you have studied and well understand; but you should not talk of Christianity, for you have not studied it. I have, and am certain you know nothing about the mat-

ter.” This was a just reproof, and one that would be very suitable to be given to half the infidels of the present day, for they often speak of what they have never studied, and what, in fact they are entirely ignorant of. Dr. Johnson, therefore, well observed, “that no honest man could be an atheist, for no man could be so after a fair examination of the proofs of Christianity.” The name of Hume being mentioned to him, “No, sir,” said he, “Hume owned to a clergyman of the Bishopric of Durham, that he had never read the New Testament with attention.”