the outlying areas. As well, we have the problem of organized crime moving into places such as taverns and entertainment areas.

A royal commission can make those kinds of recommendations in terms of changing the way we go about investigating crime. I have spoken of investigation at the political level. A royal commission can do something else. It can inquire into some of the specific allegations that have been made in the CBC documentary. As people in the House have said, a lot of it seems to be innuendo, and I have used that word myself regarding some of the individuals. There is enough smoke in that program, however, for someone to check to see how hard the fire is burning.

Let us go back for a minute to the question of the Five Dragons. An in-house inquiry into the immigration service, I submit, would not satisfy very strongly and firmly held public suspicion in the Five Dragons' case. I want to review briefly some of the bald facts in that case, and I ask the ministers seriously to consider their position that this and the other questions that were raised in the program—concerning, in particular, Hamilton, Toronto and Montreal—do not merit a judicial inquiry. Let us deal with one individual, Mr. Lui Luk. The South China *Morning Post* reports that his financial worth is \$500 million. I will have to check whether that is Hong Kong or Canadian money.

Mr. Woolliams: It is a lot of money, anyhow.

Mr. Leggatt: If it is \$500 million in Hong Kong money, it is \$125 million in Canadian money at today's exchange rate. Poor old Lui Luk is a wonderful candidate to come to this country if you take him under the entrepreneurial section and give him 25 points.

Mr. Woolliams: Quite some savings.

Mr. Leggatt: He must be a terrific saver. He can teach us all a lesson about building up a bank account. This man was a sergeant in the Hong Kong police force. By the way, we have taken about 30 of his friends into Canada from the Hong Kong police force. This man, being such a tremendous saver, decided that his police life was over and that he wanted to come to Canada. What did he do? He made an application, I presume under the normal procedure.

No minister of the House can stand up and say that corruption in the Hong Kong police force was not well known for a very long time. There have been stories printed in the world press about it. I say to the Minister of Manpower and Immigration (Mr. Cullen) that an official—I will provide the name to the minister—advised the RCMP liaisons officer in Hong Kong about the background of the Five Dragons. I would have expected that in the course of time that information would have been passed on to the immigration service. If it was not, we should find out why. If it was passed on, we should find out why the section of the Immigration Act was not applied against these individuals before they came to Canada.

Organized Crime

We should also find out who interceded on behalf of these immigrants. Was anyone in the banking world seeking to increase the banks' deposits? That is an interesting question which certainly should be answered. There is enough information just in the one case to take a serious look at how these individuals came to Canada. I remember a fellow called Dr. Gunter Frank who was considered a dangerous fellow. He wanted to come and teach a course for a couple of months in Toronto, but they said he was a dangerous radical, some kind of Marxist. In fact, he just wanted to come to Canada to give a speech.

Mr. Hogan: Queen's University invited him.

Mr. Leggatt: I do not know how much he had in the bank, but apparently he was such a danger to Canada that we could not expose our youth to the revolutionary ideas of Dr. Frank. However, Lui Luk, with his \$500 million, is all right. We can bring him in. We need all that type of person we can get, because they bring capital into Canada.

• (1550)

Mr. Gilbert: And corruption.

Mr. Leggatt: That is why we say there has not been leadership. That is why we say this government brushes the problem under the carpet.

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Turner): Order, please. I regret to inform the hon. member that his allotted time has expired. He may continue with unanimous consent. Does the hon. member have unanimous consent to continue?

Some hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Leggatt: I thank the House for allowing me to continue. I shall be very brief in winding up. Allegations have been made concerning the Vancouver drug squad, and I want to say that all the information I have is that Inspector Dickins and his squad are doing a first-rate job in Vancouver.

Some hon. Members: Hear, hear!

Mr. Leggatt: We should not simply throw charges about the force around, but we do need to have the public satisfied and with these kinds of allegations only a royal commission can do it. A royal commission could bring about a national council on organized crime. It could be called a co-ordinated law enforcement unit, but we have to bring together society's tools to strike out at this insidious force, because the people who are hurt are not members of parliament or people with big bank accounts. People on the street, prostitutes and people subjected to loansharking activities are the ones who are hurt. Those are the people who need help and protection.

This party has a reputation, in terms of civil liberties, which is second to none and it has agonized over the question of a royal commission because we believe that is a very serious decision to make. We must protect the liberties of those who would have to come before the cameras and before such an