

has reference to the evening service--with very little difference, it is the same as the morning. Surely we might have a varied form for that service, and particularly when it is considered that the evening congregation is, for the most part, the same as the morning. We are sure the laity would cordially approve of a change in this respect. It would be a most useful and attractive variation from the morning service, and would increase the love and veneration of every one for the grand Liturgy of our Church; or if, in the meantime, the heaven-inspired Litany could be substituted for the evening service, and either sung or said, we should be much more likely to hear it rendered in a manner befitting its high origin and intention, than we ever do on Sunday morning, smothered as it is between two services, and hurried, nay, we must say, in many cases slurred over, apparently without thought or feeling, in order that the whole may be gone through in one hour. This change of service, we submit, might, even now, to a great extent, be attained, if the clergy would follow the provisions of the last Uniformity Act. The "Parochial Council" might, in such cases as this, be consulted.

But the question has been so well treated by one of the Church's brightest ornaments, Dr. Arnold, that we cannot resist the pleasure of transcribing his remarks, which, however, it should be noticed, have reference to a national or established Church; but much of what he says is applicable to all our churches.

"The friends of the established Church justly extol the substantial excellence and beauty of the Liturgy. It can, indeed, hardly be praised too highly,