

National Housing Act

Healy	Matthews (Brandon)
Henderson	Mayhew
Howe	Menary
Ilsley	Mullins
Isnor	Nixon
Jackman	Parent
Jean	Raymond (Wright)
Jutras	Richard (Gloucester)
Kirk	Richard (Ottawa East)
LaCroix	Rinfret
Lafontaine	Robinson (Bruce)
Langlois	Robinson (Simcoe East)
Lapointe	Ross (Hamilton East)
Leger	Ross (St. Paul's)
Lennard	Ross (Souris)
Little	St. Laurent
Lockhart	Stuart (Charlotte)
McCann	Stokes
McCulloch (Pictou)	Timmins
Macdonald (Brantford City)	Tremblay
McGarry	Tustin
McIlraith	Warren
MacLean	Webb
McLure	Weir
McMaster	Whitman
MacNaught	Winkler
MacNicol	Winters—107.
Maloney	
Marier	

Mr. JOHNSTON: I was paired with the hon. member for Halton (Mr. Cleaver). Had I voted, I would have voted for the amendment.

Mr. CHEVRIER: I was paired with the hon. member for Cumberland (Mr. Black). Had I voted, I would have voted against the amendment.

Mr. MARQUIS: I was paired with the hon. member for Charlevoix-Saguenay (Mr. Dorion). Had I voted, I would have voted against the amendment.

Mr. GILLIS: I was paired with the Minister of National Health and Welfare (Mr. Martin). Had I voted, I would have voted for the amendment.

Mr. QUELCH: I was paired with the hon. member for Winnipeg South (Mr. Mutch). Had I voted, I would have voted for the amendment.

(Translation):

Mr. GAGNON: I was paired with the hon. member for Fraser Valley (Mr. Cruickshank). Had I voted, I would have voted for the amendment.

(Text):

Mr. R. R. KNIGHT (Saskatoon City): Mr. Speaker, before the six o'clock recess the parliamentary assistant to the Minister of Trade and Commerce (Mr. McIlraith) distorted—I do not say deliberately—certain statements I made earlier in the afternoon. I am as reluctant as anyone to hold up this debate even for the five minutes that I shall speak, but I do not like to let his words go upon the record unchallenged. Also, for the same reason, I shall stick [Mr. Fleming.]

more closely to my notes than Your Honour usually likes.

When speaking to the amendment I had attempted to show that the Saskatchewan government had provided excellent accommodation for certain young veterans and their wives in the matter of housing. To make my point I had explained that they even had in these quarters a sort of co-operative baby care management, so that I said that the mother, if necessary, could perhaps take some small job. I had in mind something like the occasional hour outside baby sitting, half a day's housework or even working in an office in order to eke out the married veteran's allowance, which has been acknowledged by most people in this house to be somewhat slim. I mentioned also the case of one lady who now, not then, is a stenographer in the House of Commons. I mentioned her case and that of her husband. The parliamentary assistant leaped onto that statement and proceeded to build out of it a fictitious argument against subsidized housing. I want to make this statement now, clear and categorical, that this lady and her husband lived in these community apartments for four months a year and a half ago, and that during the time that she and her husband were there she herself earned no money whatever. Her husband had been discharged from the air force, and was beginning to look around to get established in some work.

The parliamentary assistant made certain wild statements about the lady earning \$175 a month, and on that he built up his case against subsidization of houses. Suppose she does now earn \$175 a month, or suppose she earns \$5,000 a year; what of it? What has that to do with subsidizing housing in the period we are talking about, a year and a half ago.

Mr. SPEAKER: If I understand the hon. member correctly, I must point out to him that he cannot discuss a matter already settled by the house.

Mr. MacINNIS: Your Honour, anything that was said on the amendment which has been voted on can be said on the main motion. The hon. member is speaking to the main motion, and he can reply, in speaking to the main motion, to statements made in the debate on the amendment, because the debate is on the same question.

Mr. SPEAKER: I am sorry, but the question was decided by a vote on the amendment to the motion for the second reading of the bill.

Mr. MacINNIS: The hon. member is dealing with subsidized housing, and that is the question we are dealing with now.