

Also,—Return to an Order of the House of May 15, 1944, for a Return showing:—

1. Have Lalonde & Valois, engineers, 606 Cathcart street, Montreal, obtained contracts from the dominion government, and are they associated with Switlik Canadian Parachutes Limited, 3575 St. Lawrence street, Montreal?
2. If so, for what total amount since 1939, and for what amounts in each of the years 1939, 1940, 1941, 1942, 1943 and 1944?
3. Has J. François Leduc, consulting engineers, 354 St. Catherine street east, Montreal, obtained sub-contracts from the dominion government, and is he associated with Switlik Canadian Parachutes Limited, 3575 St. Lawrence street, Montreal?
4. If so, for what total amount during each of the years abovementioned?
5. How much money has been paid to him by the government up to the present?

Also,—Return to an Order of the House of May 15, 1944, for a Return showing:—

1. Has the government any plans for building houses in Toronto to relieve the scarcity of small houses there?
2. If so, what are such plans, (a) for wartime houses; (b) for small houses for soldiers; (c) for civilians?
3. Are negotiations pending with the city government on any such plan or plans?
4. If so, what reply has the city given, and what action has or will be taken by the government?

And also,—Return to an Order of the House of May 15, 1944, for a Return showing:—How many cords of firewood were sold and delivered to Valcartier Camp from May 1, 1942, to May 1, 1944, showing, (a) names of dealers; (b) date of deliveries; (c) price?

Mr. Macdonald (Kingston City), a Member of the King's Privy Council, laid before the House,—Copy of Naval General Orders promulgated to the Canadian Naval Forces dated May 20, 1944, under the provisions of Section 44, Chapter 139, R.S.C. 1927.

Mr. Cruickshank, from his place in the House, asked leave under Standing Order 31, to move the adjournment of the House for the purpose of discussing a definite matter of urgent public importance, and stated the subject to be:—

“The ceiling price of strawberries in British Columbia.”

He then handed a written statement of the matter proposed to be discussed to the Speaker, who, having read it to the House, put the question: Has the Member leave to proceed?

No objection being taken;

The Speaker accordingly called upon the Member to propose his motion.

Mr. Cruickshank, seconded by Mr. Sinclair, then moved,—That the House do now adjourn.

After Debate thereon, the said motion was, by leave of the House, withdrawn.