

Drs. Gibson, Moorehouse, Jarvis and others took part in the discussion. The motion was then declared lost by 18 to 6.

*Case of Dr. Shier.*—The case of Dr. D. W. Shier was very fully discussed. The discipline committee reported that his name should be struck off the register on account of "infamous and disgraceful conduct in a professional respect." This was very fully discussed. Drs. Temple, McArthur, King, Harvy, Moorehouse, Gibson, Henry, MacCallum, Carmack and Johnson took part in the debate. Dr. King moved as an amendment to the report "That the Council should not now erase Dr. Shier's name, but that the matter be taken up again at the next annual meeting." This was seconded by Dr. Hardy. Dr. Shier was heard in his defence. He explained that he had only erred in judgment, and that he thought he was sending the young woman to a place to stay. The amendment was carried, only two voting against it. Dr. Varden, of Galt, objected to the terms of Dr. Shier's letter, and thought that "his reflections upon the members of this council are altogether out of place at this time."

*Case of Dr. E. M. Cook.*—In the case of Dr. E. M. Cook, also charged with "infamous and disgraceful conduct in a professional respect," the Discipline Committee reported that the prosecutor had been unable to serve notice upon the defendant, he having left the country, and they were directed to continue their efforts to locate him with a view to inquiry into the charges. Dr. Robertson, Stratford, in submitting the report urged that every effort be made to locate Dr. E. M. Cook in order that he might be served with a regular notice so as to enable the council to enquire into his case.

*Drs. W. R. Cook and S. B. Pollard.*—Dr. J. S. Hart moved that the cases of Dr. W. R. Cook and S. B. Pollard, both of Toronto, be referred to the Discipline Committee for investigation and report. These cases will be reported upon at the next annual meeting.

*Discipline Committee.*—Dr. Jukes Johnson gave notice of a motion that the Discipline Committee be directed to deal with any cases now before the courts and which might be adjudicated upon before the next meeting of the council. So much time was lost after a case was decided by the courts before it came before the council, that the Discipline Committee should be directed to take it up.

*Unqualified Assistants.*—Dr. E. A. P. Hardy brought up the question of members of the College of Physicians and Surgeons employing unqualified assistants. He thought the council should draft new regulations which would render this impossible. As the Act now stands it is unlawful for an unqualified person to accept any remuneration for his services. The council, however, did not take any steps against those doctors who engage unqualified assistants.