

But there is another type of Laurier-Liberal who will bear watching—the pussy footer; the man who's straddle the fence; who talks long and loudly on the tariff and profiteers; but who is trying to get votes for Laurier and Bourassa, so that Canada may quit.

LAURIER MEANS TO QUIT THE WAR.

No matter from what angle the question is discussed the Laurier-Liberal policy comes right down to quitting the war. The word is repulsive to Englishmen and Englishwomen and their descendants. Quitting! To men and women of the bulldog breed, no such thought can be entertained. In the hour of trial an Englishman never talks of quitting. That can be left to the Bourassas and the Laverignes. "In a just and necessary war, to maintain the rights and honor of my country, I would strip the shirt from my back to support it," exclaimed that great Englishman, William Pitt, and his words ring true today in the hearts of Englishmen.

And what would quitting the war mean? Or in other words, if Sir Wilfrid becomes Premier of Canada on December 17 what is to happen? Word would go flashing over the cables that the regiments wearing the Maple Leaf on the western front would not be sent reinforcements. Those soldiers, by some critics, called the best in the world, would have visions of their divisions crumbling down; their morale would be shaken; and the strength of the blow they could deliver would be impaired. Would the British Government be disposed to give Canada huge munition orders or orders for commercial requirements if word goes out that Canada is quitting the war? No, our orders would naturally cease, and our industries curtailed. Would the United States be any too friendly disposed in the matter of trade, seeing that the United States itself is in the war to the finish? Would any nation or country, except those controlled by the Hohenzollerns and the Hapsburgs, be likely to have any respect for the land and the people that quit? All the renown and glory that our Canadian armies have won would be obscured and forgotten by the act of quitting the war before it was won. All that Canada has sacrificed in three and a half years of war would have gone for naught. We would have become as discredited among the peoples of the earth as the Bolsheviki of Russia, shunned by our friends and gloated over by our enemies.

SHALL THESE MEN RULE?

Another question along this great main issue. Are these extremists, Bourassa, Laverigne and Lemieux, from Quebec, which admittedly hasn't done its share in the voluntary army, coupled with the Pro-German and slacker element in Canada, to be allowed to rule the country? We have had examples of their fair play. In Quebec Unionist speakers have been howled down by organized disturbers, while pro-Germans prevent the Prime Minister from uttering his message. It isn't heckling, which is the Britisher's right. It isn't fair play, so dear to the heart of every Britisher. If that is the way they act before an election, what can we expect to come after if they win. Will the rest of Canada get fair play?