

AMERICA'S OLDEST COLLEGE NEWSPAPER Editor-in-Chief

BILL INGARFIELD Sports Editors

Business Manager

Circulation Manager

Bill McCurdy

Photographers

Warren Smith, Dave Nicholson, Fred Cowan

News Reporters

Stu MacKinnon, Bob MacLean, Chris MacKichan,

Peg Fraser, Lucy Whitman, Tinker Pullen, Janet Christie, Joan Clarke

Features Writers

Joan Edwards, John McCurdy Ken Stubbington,

Dennis Madden, David Peel, Nancy Wickwire

Alan Marshall, Jean Vincent, CUP Correspondent

Elise Lane, Patty McLead

Sports Reporters Elise Lane, Patty McLead,
Ken Gladwin, Alasdair Sinclair
Cartoonists Bob Dickie, Carl Abbott

Letters To The Editor

Halifax, Jan. 29. | The Editor,

Editor, Dalhousie Gazette:

Dear Sir,-Considerable criticism has been levelled at you and at The Gazette for the article "The Mir-acle of Sunova Beach," which ap-peared in issue No. 23. Irate readers have sent everything from pity to condemnation, and your right to print the article has been ques-tioned and doubted. As far as I can see, you had every right of a free press behind you, and your "reasonable discretion" is just as good as anybody else's. If The Gazette were never to publish anything of a controversial nature and were to reject any such material, it would be well on its way to the press censorship that it is its pur-pose to avoid. The editor of a college paper always uses his reasonable discretion and no censorship is required. This point is proved simby the publication of the ar-

The article was clever and witty and was recognized as such by Protestants and Roman Catholics alike. There is nothing wrong with satire as long as it is not presented in obscene language, and whatever "Sunova Beach" was, it was not obscene. Some students have objected strenuously on the grounds that it ridiculed a part of their But the article was not an attack on anybody's religion. It was simply intended to amuse, and was not written in a derogatory spirit. The parallel so frequently drawn is there only if some one chooses to see it. Several Roman Catholics of my acquaintance did not connect the article with the experience of Fat-ima; however, it is useless to deny hat the article was written with this in mind. But it was intended to be funny, and it was, to most people. Aren't people big enough to laugh at a joke? In-dignant writers have flooded the paper with letters which are neither constructive nor sensible; several have been intelligent and decent, but they have been buried in the deluge. No one has offered any tangible or definite reason for not printing the article. Such vague references as "the ideals of Dalhousie" and "the principles of a college paper" made by people who have no or very little idea of what these expressions mean what these expressions mean, prove absolutely nothing. Dalhousie is a non-denominational university, and as such offers freedom of thought and expression to anyone, whatever their religious belief. The Dalhousie Gazette prints articles and letters submitted, provided they have some literary merit, and are not obscene. and are not derogatory to the university as a whole. The article was neither contrary to The Gaz-

If anyone thinks that the article was not fit for publication he should offer definite reasons, and the fact that one's feelings were hurt is hardly a definite reason. If one's beliefs are so insecure that they can be shaken and harmed by an article in this vein, it is time for that person to examine his beliefs again. If amine his beliefs again. If a person really believes in something his belief will not be harmed by satire, or to go further than The Gazette did, by ridicule.

ette, its editor or Dalhousie.

Yours truly,

FADERS extend a special invitation to DAL STUDENTS to

The BEST in quality Drug Store

A most friendly shopping at-

FADER'S STUDLEY

PHARMACY LTD.

REXALL DRUGS

Complete MAGAZINE stand .

drop in for:

mosphere . .

29 Coburg Road

DAVID PEEL

Halifax

Dalhousie Gazette.

Dear Sir,-I wish to express my regret at seeing such intolerance of Christian expression and teaching in a recent letter to the editor by O. P.

Christian teachings (of every denomination) have been expressed through the medium of what O. P. might prefer to call "fables". The Bible provides us with innumerable examples.

Were O. P. unable to consider these credible, I am sure he could not deny that a strict universal observance of, and an adherence to, the Christian principles and ex-pressions of these "fables" would undoubtedly lead to the end of national and international strife and anxiety at the very least!

I do not question freedom of expression of the press, yet discretion on the part of O. P. would have averted considerable discomfort of many readers whose particular belief was criticized. Though not in complete accord with their views, I consider the letter an unfair criticism of every Christian denomina-

HAROLD STEVENS.

Editor, Dalhousie Gazette:

Dear Sir,—Re "Sunova Beach" year, SOS has come in for a good deal of criticism, much of it in bad taste and little of it constructive. It would seem SOS should be com-mended for at least a try at in-

jecting a spark of enthusiasm in "ye olde Gazette", if nothing else. The world loves excitement, adventure and anything that colors the grey hum-drum existence of everyday life. SOS has written a colorful satire which has received some response from all corners. The calamity of the column, however, is that it was not taken in the light vein in which it was written. It was not bitter or at all acceptable as a realistic occurrence. "Sunova Beach" was not unlike the hitparade tune "It's In The Book," featuring Gramma's lye soap, except the latter was not taken as a personal insult by all who follow Billy Sunday. It would seem in this area, narrow mindedness is at a maximum and tolerance at a minimum.

After a few years when the hot After a few years which hostility hanging over the heads of Halifay hurns out its "Sunova Halifax burns out its "Sunova Beach" substance, Dal students of '53, recalling the events of the dim and misty era of O.V.P. SOS, and "at last reports Acadia was still the "" will find one of the most there" will find one of the most memorable incidents will be the "Miracle of Sunova Beach" and the flood of furious and futule letters to the editor. SOS will go down as the Voltaire of Dalhousie or at worst, the Al Capp or Walt Kelly

The critics of SOS' M.O.S.B. had better keep pen in hand because this will not be the last article either satirical or serious, appearing in publications, with religion as its theme. From time immemorial original thinkers have utilized controversial subjects, such as reeligion, as a basis for essays and reeligion, as a basis for essays and books which are now listed as the world's best in literature. Their critics, like Don Quixote tilting at imaginary windmills in the form of insults to their personal beliefs, are so long forgotten as to be completely obscure.

That SOS will go down in clas-

McCURDY PRINTING COMPANY

"ONE OR A MILLION"

PRINTERS and PUBLISHERS

54 Argyle St.

P. O. Box 1102

sical history as a great writer is doubtful. He has proven, however, and contrary to campus opinion, that The Gazette has a great many

D. NICHOLSON.

5 Murray Place Halifax, N. S. Jan. 28, 1953. Editor, Dalhousie Gazette:

Sir,—May I express my unbounded admiration to you, Mr. Editor, for according the freedom of the press to the author of the much criticized satire, "The Miracle of Sunova Beach"? Although it may not have met with my approval, yet if freedom means anything at all it must involve freedom to disagree and the right to express an opposite point of view, or in the words of a great fighter for freedom, "I disagree violently with (hate?) what you say, but I will fight to the death for your right to say it". Furthermore, Mr. Editor, I admire you for being consistent to the principle of a free press by according the same freedom to those who took exception to the above-mentioned story. You are to be congratulated, Sir, but judging by the concluding remarks of many of the letters that flooded your column all do not possess the same confidence in your integrity as I do. I find myself wondering whether it was wisdom that so much heat and pressure was applied to such an affair as a literary contribution by one of our students. No doubt, he feels an importance out of all proportion to the true merits of the article because of the widespread publicity given to it; and many have reread and discussed this article, while others who might not have read it have been sent searching through back issues in order to bring themselves up to date on current campus topics, all because of the fuss that has been created. But my Roman Catholic Christian friends were intent on having the blood of "S. O. S.", and yours, too; they wanted to heap on both your heards the contempt that it deserved. Would it have not been much wiser to have ignored the author and his article, and to have given both the contempt of silence? That would have been much more mature than giving in to emotional

outbursts, decrying against you,
Mr. Editor, or the Dalhousie Gazette, or our University.
F. HOWARD-ROSE, B. A., Education.

> January 28th, 1953. Halifax, N. S.

Editor, Dalhousie Gazette, Dear Sir,-May I be permitted to reply to a recent letter by Mr. David J. Janigan? He begins his letter by saying that he is a Roman Catholic, so perhaps I ought to start off with the statement that I am an English Catholic, i.e., a member of the holy Catholic Church of England in Canada; and may I hastily add that although I am in communion with the See of Canterbury, yet I have the greatest respect for the Holy Catholic Church of Rome.

Mr. Janigan believes that the two authors, "S.O.S." and "O.U.P.", consider that he is "a religious fool" and ". . . has not attained maturity of mind". He goes on to say that, "This, they apply to all Roman Catholics". They might have meant it for you Mr. Janigan, for parkers they are your friends for perhaps they are your friends

Surely, Sir, you are well aware that the story of the Miracle of Our Lady of Fatima is not an ar-ticle of belief, "de fide", but simply a beautiful and touching account which may be held as a pious opinion. An official pronouncement from the Holy Father may come later; but at present, it is not bind-ing on all or any Roman Catholic to believe it. Therefore, do not be too perturbed about ridicule against this opinion, for it is not possible to insult Truth; if it is true, it will prevail.

There is just one other point in Mr. Janigan's letter to which I must take issue, I quote, "It seems almost paradoxial to me that "O.

Mon., Tues., Wed.

AT YOUR ODEON THEATRES O. HENRY'S FULL HOUSE ASINO Strange Fascination and Close Up GARRICK 'CAPTAIN BLOOD'

"MONKEY BUSINESS"

NOTICE

The Gazette wishes to announce that it regards the current discussions pertaining to the publication of the Miracle of Sunova Beach as closed insofar as the use of this paper is concerned. No further correspondence on this particular subject will be published at the present time.

-The Editor.

U. P." and "S. O. S." should use that right to attack, ridicule, and deride a religion that has helped greatly to maintain that arsenal of democracy-attacking one of the guardians of them?" Sir, do you nonestly believe what you are saying? Surely, one who attempts to portray the Catholic Church as a guardian of democracy has undertaken an extremely difficult task, and must write more often than not with tongue in cheek.

Allow me to ask a few pertinent questions? Was the Church or Rome a. guardian of democracy during the Spanish Civil War Definitely not; it is a well-known fact that the Roman Church authorities actively and openly aided the rebel Fascist leader, Franco. In 1936, the duly constituted Government of Spain, whose authority rested on the people's will expressed by a democratic election through the means of secret ballot, was overthrown; and a dictatorship which received the Pontifical blessing was set up. Is Fascism Democracy? Then why did the Roman Church support, and still does support, a Fascist state in Spain The recent utterances of the Cardinal Archbishop Pedro Segura y Saenz, of Sevilla, reminds one of from the Spanish Inquisition. He considers Franco's treat-ment of Protestants too linient. Also, many of the publications of various Protestant denominations contain testimony of religious and political persecutions in Mexico and many of the Latin American Republics. Why didn't the Roman Church respect the rights of the Ethiopians, who were members of the Abyssinian Coptic Church? Mussolini's legions were dispatched with the Pontifical blessing to despoil, desecrate, and to commit the sin of setting up altar against altar. Is not due regard for the rights of others to worship according to their beliefs a principle of democracy? Why did the Church of Rome disregard, and very often does disregard, this fundamental democratic principle?

the Roman Church ought not to be judged by the sayings and actions of individual Roman Catholics; that they do not commit the whole Roman Church. Yet the opinions and pastoral letters of prelates do affect and influence the conduct of those who reside in their domains. Nevertheless, read, if you will, the Encyclicals of Popes Gregory XVI (May 26, 1832), Pius IX (Quanta Cura, 1864), and Leo XIII (on Christian Constitution of States, Nov. 1, 1885). I quote, "From these decisions of the popes, it is clearly to be understood that the origin of public power is to be sought from God himself, and not from the multitudes; that the free play for sedition is repugnant to reason; that it is a crime for private individuals, and a crime for States, to observe nowhere the dufor perhaps they are your friends and possibly might know you; but I doubt whether their remarks could be intended for all Roman Catholics. Perhaps it would be more correct to say that they consider some Roman Catholics are fools, or more specifically, those who believe the Miracle at Fatima.

Surely, Sir, you are well aware clical on Christian Constitution of ties of religion, or to treat in the or patronage". Leo XIII, Encyclical on Christian Constitution of States, Nov. 1, 1885. Roma locuta est; causa finita est — Rome (through the pope) has spoken; the case is closed.

ECCLESIA ANGLICANA. Name supplied on request.

Editor, Dalhousie Gazette.

Dear Sir,-Satire is one of the most effective forms of criticism. Surely Arthur Koestler and George Orwell, who attacked Russia so

BIRKS

Have enjoyed the privilege of supplying Class Insignia for Dalhousie University for many years.

It is our sincere hope that we will always enjoy this pleasure and continue to merit this confidence in the future.

Henry Birks & Sons (Maritimes) Ltd.

Halifax, N. S.

NOTICE

To whom it may concern:

As a result of a request by the Student Council I, the writer of the story "Miracle of Sunova Beach, am complying with the opinion of Council that said story was distasteful to the feelings of a certain religious group.

well verbally, are neither immature nor did they write in bad taste. It would have been in poor taste in Russia to write as they did, but not here.

My congratulations to SOS, who wrote "Sunova Beach". It appears to have been a thought-provoking article. It could only be the product of a university where thought is not attended along the standard discrete delays. is not strongly directed along conventional lines.

It hardly seems fair to attack a person because he holds unconventional ideas. Men may attack the idea, but they selmon attack the person violently unless there is enough of truth in the ideas to upset them considerably. On perhaps set them considerably. Or perhaps the defenders of the church merely felt the church needed defending. It doesn't. It will be here when communism is long dead.

"Sunova Beach" was written as an amusing article, and most of us took it as such. It was better than most material that finds its way into college papers.

God bless SOS and the editors of Dal Gazette.

> Yours respectfully, H. DONALD URQUHART, 1st year Med.



Write enclosing

The Venus Pencil

Co., Ltd.

Toronto 14, Ontario