Cancelled in the Register.—(Continued.)

- 83.—Of the Grand Trunk Railway Company, for amendments to their Act of Incorporation. Counter Petition; of the Quebec Board of Trade. Petition of J. H. Glass, and J. R. Gemmill, on behalf of a Public Meeting in the County of Lambton, that this Company, and the Great Western Railway Company, may be compelled to construct their proposed Branch to Por Sarnia, or otherwise that authority be given to another Company for that purpose. Petition of T. C. Keefer, for the insertion of a clause in the Bill, to render the Company liable for claims against the late Montreal and Kingston, and Kingston, and Toronto Railway Companies. Another Petition, 30th April.—See Bill, No. 39.
- 84.—Of the Great Western Railway Company, for amendments to their Charter, an increase of Capital Stock, and power to construct a branch line to (See supra, No. 83, for Petition of J. H. Glass, and J. R. Brantford. Petition of C. McGill, and others, in favour. Petition of the Gemmill). Municipality of Louth, for authority to the Company to construct a Station on the East Side of the Twenty Mile Creek, and to retain the present Bridge across said Stream. Petition of W. Adams, and others, of Louth, against the foregoing application. Petition of the Municipality of Louth, against a Stationary Bridge. Petitions of the Corporation of Hamilton. and of J. Gartshore, and others, that Municipalities holding Stock in this Railroad may be entitled to vote upon the same. Petition of the Corporation of Hamilton, for authority to the Company, to run Steamers between Hamilton, Toronto and Oswego.-See Bill, No. 169.
- 85.—Of the Louth Harbour Company, for a continuance of their corporate righs under the Act of Upper Canada, 3 William IV, chap. 23.—See Bill, No. 74.
- 86.—Of T. Jenkins, and others, for the incorporation of the Otter Creek Navigation Company.—See Bill, No. 77.
- 87.—Of P. A. C. Munro, and others, Physicians and Surgeons, and Professors of the Montreal School of Medicine, for amendments to the Act incorporating said School. Counter Petition; of A. F. Holmes, and others, Physicians of Montreal.—See Bill, No. 106.
- 88.—Of A. M. Delisle, and others, for the incorporation of a Company to provide a system of Drainage through Montreal, in connexion with the Lachine Canal.—See Bill, No. 122.
- 89.—Of the New York, Newfoundland, and London Telegraph Company, for a confirmation of their Charter, and Provincial aid towards their proposed undertaking—See Bill, No. 100.
- 90.—Of the North Shore (St. Lawrence) Railway Company, for authority to construct Docks in the River St. Charles. Report, 17th October.