

NAVAL BILL WILL COME UP THURSDAY

First Division in House Gives Government Majority of 48

MONDOU'S BILL ALSO BEATEN

Laurier Amendment to Speech from Throne Handsomely Beaten by Midnight Division — Lemieux Makes Wild Attack in House.

Special to The Standard.
Ottawa, Dec. 3.—The debate on the Laurier amendment to the address in reply to the speech from the throne has terminated in a satisfactory victory for the government. The division came at midnight, and the government had a majority of 48 or rather more than its normal superiority.

Mr. Mondo's bill, which, it was contended, would not be justified as long as the United Kingdom controls the international policy of the Empire, then came up and was briefly debated.

The debate through the day was noteworthy for an attack by Mr. Lemieux which drew from Mr. J. H. Railly, of Quebec, a sharp retort. An assertion frequently made that he had said that Canada owes nothing to England.

Tomorrow is private members' day. Mr. Dorion will introduce the naval bill on Thursday.

That Marine Railway.

Sir Rodolphe Forger began by a pointed remark to the house owner of La Patrie, as had been alleged.

Next Sir Rodolphe Forger stated that the minister of public works had had nothing to do with or indirectly with the election in Rivière-du-Loup.

On the subject of the famous Marine Railway Sir Rodolphe Forger said he had been promised ever since 1890 "by several persons" that he would be appointed to it. Mr. Forger had not done so, nor had he been appointed. Some weeks before the issue of the writs for the by-election of Mr. Hazen and Mr. Monk were guests at a non-partisan dinner at Sorel, and the president of the local Liberal (a Liberal) publicly asked for the railway. Mr. Monk turned to Sir Rodolphe Forger and asked: "How much will it cost? Is it necessary?" Sir Rodolphe Forger replied that it would cost from \$200,000 to \$250,000, and Mr. Monk thereupon promised it.

As for his own words on his position, Mr. Forger said he arrived in the constituency just about the time of Mr. Monk's resignation, and on being asked if that put an end to their hopes of the railway, said no, as he was sure that Mr. Rogers would carry out Mr. Monk's commitments.

Lemieux in an Uproar.

Mr. Lemieux attacked Sir Rodolphe Forger, asserting that he had shown activity in the election to induce the government to grant a subsidy to a bankrupt railway in Quebec. On the naval question he took up the opinion of W. P. Nicklin of Kingston, who quoted certain critics who declared "that Canada owes Great Britain nothing" because of diplomatic expenses at Canadian expense.

Mr. Lemieux quoted this and said that Mr. J. H. Railly had used the expression "we owe nothing to England."

Mr. Lemire vigorously denied this. He would resign his seat if Mr. Lemieux could produce any report of any such statement by him.

Mr. Lemire produced a report from "Le Nationaliste" to the effect that Mr. Railly had complained in diplomatic contests the United States had always obtained what they desired and the Indians had not been refused by the Mother Country.

"Retract," said Mr. Railly.

Mr. Lemire refused to do so, insisting that this proved his assertion.

This was a great uproar.

The Reciprocity Corps Again.

Mr. Pelletier followed with a severe censure of Mr. Lemire for refusing to accept the naval bill. He good his charge that Mr. Railly had said "that Canada owed England nothing."

Mr. Pelletier drew Hon. Hugh Guthrie into a more on reciprocity.

"I did say reciprocity was dead," said Mr. Guthrie. "It was accurate. No one has questioned where I stood upon that, but it was not the main issue, the immediate issue was the question of the navy. I am for reciprocity as much as ever."

After the speech of Mr. Cardin spoke in French upon the incidents of the Richelieu election. Mr. Gordon Wilson followed.

After speeches by Charles Murphy

was then carried.

WOOD ALCOHOL SUSPECTED AS DEATH CAUSE

Trueman L. Wilson Dead in Moncton Under Strange and Tragic Circumstances.

FOUND BY FATHER IN DYING STATE.

Deceased Resided in Stephen But Had Been Visiting His Parents in Moncton.

Special to The Standard.
Moncton, Dec. 3.—About 7 o'clock this evening a young man named Trueman L. Wilson, who has been for some time visiting his parents in St. Stephen, but who has been for some time visiting his parents in St. Stephen, died under somewhat tragic circumstances at a house on Bridge street, to which he had been removed to by his father, Mr. Trueman Wilson, who had been ill for some time. According to his son, he had been in very serious condition at the rear of a barn near by. Wood alcohol poisoning was suspected as the cause of death. At request of the father of deceased, George A. Parsons, a physician, called a jury to view the remains and took into the case. A post mortem examination will be made and the contents of the stomach sent to St. John.

The deceased is about 32 years of age, is married, and has one child two years old.

He left the residence of his father, a brewer, in St. Stephen, and was not seen again by his father until nearly 7. At that time his father, Trueman L. Wilson, went to a barn on the corner of Mo. 53 Bridge street to feed a horse which he keeps there. As he approached the building he heard his son calling to him. He found his son lying on a serious sick bed and assisted him into the barn. At the request of the deceased he gave him some salt and water, which was an iron thrown upon the young man was removed to 53 Bridge street and died about fifteen minutes later.

The police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the coroner

the police and coroner were notified and an inquest was held.

At the request of the