W., I

his life

of said

at his

in his

r sons

G. W.,

etween

estate

vested

s, as a

l born

r, 105.

- Re-

st.] -

s heirs

lands,

vise to

condi-

mort-

e, but

to his

fit in

verned

5, and

lothed

e chil-

in fee

prohi-

gaging

e, 107.

equest

testa-

er "a

emain

ase of

bably

at the

e, and

giving

y, she

nance

The testator also bequeathed to his wife "the full control of all my real and personal estate, stock and implements, during her lifetime," and willed that at his wife's decease "all the stock, of whatever kind, with the farming implements on the farm at my wife's decease shall be equally divided between my sons."

Held, that the bequest to the widow of the stock and farm implements was specific, and therefore exempt from the payment of the pecuniary legacies. Augustine v. Schrier, 192.

5. Construction-Vendor and purchaser petition-Devise to one for life, then to issue in fee simple-Shelley's case.]-A testator devised lands to his daughter: "to her own plaintiff, the widow, and J., lived on use for the full term of her natural life, and from and after her decease

up on a vendor and purchaser peti-

The Court refrained from making any order on the petition, for the tion, and any experiment could better be made in a contested case when brought by plaintiff to establish the

Semble, however, that the direcconstrued "children," and the moth- and also the annuity of \$20; and er took an estate for life only. Re that the loan company could not

6. Life estate-Annuity-Costs-Consolidation of Mortgagees.]-The testator by his will made a provision for his wife as follows: "I give and devise to my beloved wife, &c., 'allhousehold goods,' &c., 'for the term of her natural life;' and I give and devise to her one bedroom, and one parlor of her own choice in the dwelling house wherein I now dwell, &c., 'also the use of kitchen, yard, garden; also, I give and devise to my said wife her life in the said lot heretofore mentioned; also an annuity of \$20 yearly." He then subject to the above and to the payment of \$1,000 to his eldest son D., and other legacies, devised the lot to his second son J.

After the testator's death the the lot, arranging between them as to her maintenance to the lawful issue of my said daugh- raise money to pay D.'s legacy, the ter to hold in fee simple," and in plaintiff and J. mortgaged the lot to a loan company, and on default, pro-The daughter contracted to con-ceedings were taken under the power vey in fee to a purchaser; and the of sale to compel payment. The question whether she took a life plaintiff set about making arrangeestate or an estate tail was brought ments to pay off the mortgage, but the company refused to accept payment unless the amount of two other mortgages made by J., alone, were also paid. No tender was law on this head seemed to be in a made by plaintiff, nor was any destate of uncertainty, if not of transimand made by her for arrears of annuity or dower. An action was all parties interested were repre-will, and to have the rights of the loan company declared :-

Held, that the proper construction tion that the issue should hold the of the will was, that the widow was property in fee simple appeared in- to have a life estate in the bed-room compatible with an estate tail in the and parlour she should select, and mother, and that "issue" must be also in the kitchen, yard, garden, claim to have the mortgages consolidated, and that as the plaintiff