Immigration

poses in certain countries, such as Spain, China, Yugoslavia, Taiwan or the Soviet Union, much less to determine the qualifications of appropriate medical specialists in those countries, to use the wording of the amendment proposed in committee. In those cases the state concerned designates the local examining medical practitioners and occasionally accedes to request for medical consultations when these are required. Departmental medical officials ordinarily do not have any direct contact with these physicians. They certainly do not have any control with respect to their designation.

• (2140)

Another point worth bearing in mind is the incongruity of the so-called medical specialists in India, Japan, Lebanon, Ghana or Afghanistan, opining for example, on the subject of, and I quote the amendment passed by the committee, "excessive demands on health or social services" in Canada with respect to health impairment discerned in an immigrant applicant in one of those countries, assuming that the requirement for, and I again quote the amendment, "consultation with the appropriate medical specialist", as the amended version reads, applies to Section 19.

The hon. member raised questions as to costs. He went out of his way to point out that the applicant immigrants are paying for the medical specialist consultations. I suspect that what he proposed would end up hurting sick people whom he claims he would want to help. It is estimated that for approximately every 2,000 immigrants there might be as many as 50,000 cases where it would be appropriate to have a consultation with a medical specialist.

Let us assume a consultation fee of about \$100 per immigrant. It immediately adds an additional \$5 million to potential immigrants who will have to bear that particular cost. As the hon. member knows, many of these people come to Canada with relatively small means, especially if they suffer from a particular health impairment.

As to the figure of \$2.5 million about which the hon. member was concerned, it is related essentially to having medical immigration officers from the department and medical specialists appear in litigation procedures and the costs arising therefrom.

[Translation]

The Acting Speaker (Mr. Ethier): Order, please. It being 9.45 p.m., pursuant to the order made today, the House will now proceed to the taking of the deferred divisions on Bill C-24, an Act respecting immigration to Canada.

Call in the members.

• (2200)

Mr. Speaker: The question is on motion No. 1 in the name of the hon. member for Montmorency (Mr. Duclos).

The House divided on motion No. 1 (Mr. Duclos) which was negatived on the following division: [Mr. Lalonde.] Brewin De Bané Duclos Gilbert Joyal

Abbott Alexander Allmand Appolloni (Mrs.) Baker (Grenville-Carleton) Beatty Réchard Bégin (Miss) Blais Blaker Blouin Boulanger Breau Brisco Buchanan Campagnolo (Mrs.) Caron Clermont Coates Collenette Comtois Corbin Crouse Cullen Danson Darling Demers Dinsdale Douglas (Bruce-Grey) Drury Epp Ethier Faulkner Forrestall

(Division No. 94)

YEAS Messrs

Knowles (Winnipeg North Centre) Lambert (Bellechasse)

(Benechasse) MacDonald (Egmont) NAYS Messrs.

Francis

Gauthier (Ottawa-Vanier) Gendron Gillespie Gillies Goodale Gover Gray Guay (St. Boniface) Haidasz Herbert Hnatyshyn Holt (Mrs.) Hopkins Horner Isabelle Jamieson Kaplan Kempling Lajoie Lalonde Lang Laniel La Salle Lawrence Lebland (Laurier) Lee Lefebvre Loiselle (Chambly) Loiselle (Saint-Henri) Macdonald (Rosedale)

Nystrom Orlikow Peters Saltsman Symes—13.

MacEachen MacFarlane Maine Malone Marchand Martin McKenzie McKinley McRae Milne Muir Munro (Esquimalt-Saanich) Nicholson (Miss) O'Connell Paproski Parent Penner Philbrook Pigott (Mrs.) Portelance Poulin Prud'homme Reid Roberts Robinson Rompkey Sauvé (Mrs.) Sharp Smith (Saint-Jean) Stanbury Stanfield Tessier Turner Watson Yewchuk

Young-102.

Mr. Speaker: I therefore declare motion No. 1 lost.

• (2210)

Fox

[English]

The question therefore is on motion No. 6 in the name of the hon. member for Provencher (Mr. Epp).

The House divided on motion No. 6 (Mr. Epp) which was regatived on the following division:

(Division No. 95)

YEAS

	Messrs.	
Alexander	Forrestall	McKinley
Baker	Gillies	Muir
(Grenville-Carleton)	Hnatyshyn	Munro
Beatty	Kempling	(Esquimalt-Saanich)
Brewin	Knowles	Nystrom
Brisco	(Winnipeg	Orlikow
Coates	North Centre)	Paproski
Crouse	La Salle	Peters
Darling	Lawrence	Pigott (Mrs.)
De Bané	MacDonald	Saltsman
Dinsdale	(Egmont)	Stanfield
Epp	Malone	Symes
Fairweather	McKenzie	Yewchuk—34.