Conclusions on military responsibilities devastating name, his judicial colleague Judge Moshe Landau, Dr. Yitzhak Nebenzahl, the State Comptroller, and two former Army Chiefs of Staff, Lieutenant-General Haim Laskov, who is now the Military Ombudsman, and Lieutenant-General Yigal Yadin, the world-renowned biblical archaeologist and professor at the Hebrew University in Jerusalem.

On April 1, 1974, they issued their first partial report, which confined itself to military responsibilities and refused to enter the area of political responsibility for the mechdal. The conclusions that concern this section of our discussion – namely, the prestige of some of the senior officers then in command – were devastating. For example, the commission concluded that the Chief of Staff, Lieutenant-General David Elazar, bore personal responsibility for the evaluation of the situation and for Zahal's state of readiness and recommended his termination as Chief of the General Staff. While not presenting a final recommendation on the fitness of Major-General Shmuel Gonen (the Officer Commanding Southern Command) to fulfil tasks in Zahal, the commission recommended that he not fulfil any active role until they completed their investigation. "In view of his grave failure" Major-General Ze'ira could no longer continue as Chief of Military Intelligence, Brigadier-General Arye Shalev (Deputy Chief of Military Intelligence in charge of research and evaluation), was found to carry "the heaviest burden for the gravest error of the Department which he headed," and could not continue his service in Military Intelligence. Colonel Yonah Bendman (head of the Egyptian Branch of the Research Department of Military Intelligence) should no longer be employed in any role connected with intelligence evaluation. Similarly, the commission found that Lieutenant-Colonel David Gedalia (Chief of Intelligence of the Southern Command) did not fulfil his special obligation "on the key front in the days when it was especially vital to be aware of the intentions of the Egyptian enemy", and recommended that he no longer be engaged in any intelligence tasks.

These recommendations calling for the removal of some of Israel's most senior and respected officers, the war's outbreak and outcome, the once-and-for-all shattering of the twin myths of the Super Sabra and of the infallibility of Israeli intelligence and the public bickering and involvement in politics by top Israeli military figures (which will be discussed later) have all combined to diminish and devalue the prestige of Zahal's leaders. This devalua-

tion shows itself in certain forms of public criticism — some never expressed before and in difficulties encountered by Zahr (which in Israel includes the land, sea arrair forces) in recruiting and retaining me for career service in the regular army.

who

iciz

frai

ect

lior

đе

Ėla

kill

Čers

gon

ant

pro

Acl

hur

iev.

рго

and

son

δ'n

þу

ine

Sec

Th

ass

.bf S

me:

wei

Bra

ma

¢ha

¢or

For

þffi

fall

There is strong evidence of this en sion of the prestige of the Zahal's leader ship ever since the war. When, for example the Minister of Commerce, Haim Bar-Le who was Chief of Staff during the building of the ill-fated line that bears his nam and who was recalled from the Cabineti October 1973 to serve in the Southen Sinai, addressed the memorial ceremonia at a military cemetery in Beersheba April 1974, he was "verbally attacked by bereaved families". Some of the parent crowded him, screaming: "You sent ou sons to be slaughtered." Earlier that month he was jeered by a hostile crow of 700 Hebrew University students. Like many other Israelis, they were, and still are angry at the Agranat Commission's sell imposed decision to limit itself to military failures and not to criticize the politician for government failures. When Bar-Le refused to answer the questions "Do yo think Defence Minister Dayan should a sign?" and "Do you think Dayan is just as guilty as Elazar?", the students boom him with a deafening roar. By doing so they showed their displeasure not only the Agranat Commission but also at former generals like Bar-Lev and Moshe Daya who entered politics after their military retirement and were refusing to resign their Cabinet posts or in other ways accept any personal or ministerial respon sibility for the mechdal.

Mistrust created

Just a few days before the first anniversary of the October War, the new Chief Staff, Lieutenant-General Mordechai Gu admitted that "it was true that a measur of mistrust among the senior command staff was created after the war began Then, while speaking of the Army's "cur rent training program", he said it would "serve to restore any trust which may be lacking [author's italics]". A year after the war, two sergeants were court-martialled demoted and given seven years for refusing to obey orders during the war. While the took their sentences "impassively", many of their families did not, crying out that "the people really responsible for the (You Kippur) blunder should be on trial". At cording to the press, the police had to k summoned to quiet the courtroom.

In November 1974, Henry Kamm the New York Times reported: