

solve the parliament, it is sufficient to reply, that since the revolution, a king of *Great Britain* has never said, nor acted, as if he would not comply with the petition of a majority of his people. It would be needless therefore, yea, it would be injurious, to suppose any such thing.

But what I have now supposed as a possible case in our government, it would seem is not, even in the opinion of the petitioners, the present circumstances of the nation. They have not petitioned for a dissolution of parliament, they have only petitioned the House itself, which supposes, whatever may have been surmised, that the House is yet capable of redressing grievances. Whatever therefore the determination of the House may be, respecting grievances, no part of the  
people