

that we also should be justified in making a similar claim, though for a lesser amount. But we do not wish to make too much of financial considerations: it is more important, in our opinion, to have an understanding that the syllabus and standard of examinations are to be kept to the level of what we have inherited from the Associated Board.

As to the stipulation that the Secretary shall reside in Toronto, we have no objection to offer. Have you a suitable man who would work for the scheme in its broad aspects? It is conceivable that we might not need his full time at once, but he would have to travel all over the country, and the whole expenses of his office would, along with the cost of the examinations, have to be a first charge on the fund. Here we might have an Associate Secretary to whom all material should be supplied as far as possible in duplicate.

And if the secretary is to reside in your city, thus making Toronto the head-centre of the whole scheme, it was strongly held by my friends yesterday that the Chairman of the Board should be supplied from Montreal.

As to the constitution of the Board, we are of opinion that seven members might be too many, especially as some of them have to travel to the place of meeting. We need only a working Board to appoint examiners, and to formally supervise the Secretary's operations. For this purpose a Committee of four persons would, in our opinion, be quite sufficient, - two from McGill and two from Toronto. We could not in any case assent to the suggestion that Toronto should have more members than McGill. That would seem to put us in a position of inferiority in regard to all the aspects of