

National Parks Act

Order Paper were indeed discussed in committee. They come about as a consequence of activities which have occurred since the Bill was introduced. The option was to come forward with a new Bill with those items in it, or to seek the unanimous consent of the House to move those amendments at this stage. I think you would find, Mr. Speaker, a predisposition on the part of Members of the House to accept those amendments. Therefore they can be put before us at this stage. I would be pleased to move them on behalf of the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of the Environment.

Hon. Chas. L. Caccia (Davenport): Mr. Speaker, I rise on the same point of order. In reply to the Parliamentary Secretary's intervention, and on the assumption that he is referring to Motions Nos. 1, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, yes, we concur on our side.

Mr. Robert Wenman (Fraser Valley West): Mr. Speaker, I request that the House give consent to move Motion No. 10 and the subsequent motion thereto. That motion would be to delete line 5 and substitute the following: "Land Districts, and to include, subject to negotiation and agreement with the Government of the Province of British Columbia, that region of Renfrew".

Mr. Angus: Mr. Speaker, I rise on the same point of order. I am wondering if we are not jumping ahead of ourselves just a bit in terms of the order. I do not have any objection at all to the proposal of the Hon. Member, nor do I have an objection to the proposal of the Parliamentary Secretary in terms of unanimous consent to allow the motions in the name of the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of the Environment (Mrs. Browes) to be considered.

Mr. Hawkes: Mr. Speaker, the bit of confusion may be that the Hon. Member for Davenport (Mr. Caccia) correctly identified that there is unanimous consent to move Motions Nos. 1, 3, 4, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11 and 12, but they happen to be standing in the names of different people at different places. Indeed, the Hon. Member is the sponsor of one of those motions.

Mr. Speaker, you will find unanimous consent to accept those motions. Then, I think the Hon. Member for Fraser Valley West (Mr. Wenman) wishes to amend Motion No. 10 which stands in his name. I think he has shared with the House the thrust of that amendment.

If Your Honour were to proceed and put the motions, then the Chair would find those people willing. In my case where the name of the Parliamentary Secretary to the Minister of the Environment appears it would be my pleasure to take her place and move her motions on her behalf.

Mr. Deputy Speaker: Is there unanimous consent?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

• (1300)

The Deputy Speaker: Is there unanimous consent to allow the Hon. Member for Fraser Valley West (Mr. Wenman) to move his motion which the Chair will consider to be a new Motion No. 10?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

[*Translation*]

Mr. Deputy Speaker: In view of the unanimous consent given by the House to permit honourable members to move these motions in amendment, I am prepared to group these motions as follows:

[*English*]

Motions Nos. 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11, and 12 will be grouped for debate and voted on as follows:

(a) Motions Nos. 1, 2, and 11 will be voted on separately;

(b) Motion No. 7 will be voted on separately with the vote being applied to Motion No. 12;

(c) An affirmative vote on Motion No. 9 obviates the need to vote on Motion No. 10. A negative vote on Motion No. 9 necessitates the requirement of a vote on Motion No. 10.

[*Translation*]

Motion nos 3 and 4 will be debated together but voted upon separately.

[*English*]

Motions Nos. 5 and 13 will be debated together, but voted on separately.

[*Translation*]

Motions nos. 6 and 8 will be debated together but voted upon as follows:

[*English*]

An affirmative vote on Motion No. 6 will obviate the need to vote on Motion No. 8. However, a negative vote on Motion No. 6 will necessitate the requirement of a vote on Motion No. 8.

[*Translation*]

I shall now put motions nos. 1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11 and 12 to the House.

[*English*]

Mr. Caccia: I rise on a point of Order, Mr. Speaker, to draw to your attention that in light of the subamendment put forward by the Member for Fraser Valley West (Mr. Wenman), it no longer follows that a favourable vote on Motion No. 9 will automatically dispose of Motion No. 10, because Motion No. 10 is now different from Motion No. 10 listed in the Order Paper. You may wish to reconsider that aspect of your judgment, Mr. Speaker.