

zation, whether he is for or against it. As far as I can recall, this is simply confirmation of a decision which was announced some time ago. The current announcement is simply talking about its implementation.

Mr. Crosby: Mr. Speaker, I can tell the President of the Treasury Board exactly where I stand. I am totally opposed to transferring senior public servants, who have devoted many years of their lives to the Public Service of Canada, from Halifax to Sydney simply to please the Member for Cape Breton-The Sydneys and the Deputy Prime Minister.

IMPACT ON EMPLOYEES

Mr. Howard Crosby (Halifax West): Does the President of the Treasury Board realize the effect on the people involved in this transfer? Will he look at the specific cases involved, and give consideration to those people who cannot retain their employment if they are required to go from the City of Halifax to the City of Sydney to maintain their job in the Public Service?

Hon. Herb Gray (President of the Treasury Board): Mr. Speaker, there are quite generous provisions in these cases of decentralization to deal fairly with the public servants involved. I am sure that will be the case here. I am sure my hon. friend's point of view will be noted with interest by the responsible Minister, the Minister of National Health and Welfare, who is in the House now.

* * *

PETITION

MR. FLIS—RELEASE OF MYKOLA HORBAL FROM SOVIET LABOUR CAMP

Mr. Speaker: Order. I have the honour to inform the House that the petition presented by the Hon. Member for Parkdale-High Park (Mr. Flis) on Wednesday, March 7, 1984, meets the requirements of the Standing Orders as to form.

* * *

BUSINESS OF THE HOUSE

WEEKLY STATEMENT

Mr. Dick: Mr. Speaker, I rise on a point of order. I have two questions I would like to raise. There is the usual request I should put forth to the Government House Leader as to the items of business planned in the upcoming week.

I understand discussions have been held with respect to the second matter, and that is that the motion referring Supplementary Estimates (C) for 1983-1984, under an Order of March 5, to several standing committees of the House should be amended by deleting the referral of Social Development Votes 2c and 3c to the Standing Committee on Transport and by adding the referral of those two votes to the Standing

Business of the House

Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs. I believe there was a typographical error of some nature to begin with.

Mr. Pinard: On the second point, Mr. Speaker, it is true that we have had consultations and agree to the motion to which the Hon. Member refers. Therefore, if you seek unanimous consent for the following, the formal motion would be:

That the Order of the House of March 5, 1984 referring the Supplementary Estimates (C), 1983-1984, to the several standing committees of the House, be amended by deleting the referral of Social Development Votes 2c and 3c to the Standing Committee on Transport and by adding the referral of the said votes to the Standing Committee on Finance, Trade and Economic Affairs.

It seems there would be unanimous consent without debate for the following motion, if you would put it now.

Mr. Speaker: Does the Hon. President of the Privy Council have unanimous consent of the House to present his motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Mr. Speaker: Is it the wish of the House to adopt said motion?

Some Hon. Members: Agreed.

Motion (Mr. Pinard) agreed to.

Mr. Pinard: Mr. Speaker, in so far as the business of the House is concerned for the coming week, we plan to call the following Bills tomorrow: Bill C-9, resuming debate; and the back-up Bill would be resuming debate on Bill C-10. Monday will be an Opposition Day. Therefore Monday is an allotted day. Tuesday, Wednesday and Thursday next week could be used to resume debate on Bill C-21. If we were to send the Bill to committee earlier than next Thursday, I would be pleased to meet with my colleagues, the House Leaders on the other side, to tell them what other plans we would have under the circumstances.

Mr. Robinson (Burnaby): Mr. Speaker, I have a question on House business. The President of the Privy Council will be aware of the fact that the legislation on the Access to Information Act and the Privacy Act provides for the designation of a committee to review the implementation of that important legislation. The Joint Committee on Regulations and Other Statutory Instruments has asked that it be permitted to assume this important responsibility, particularly given the heavy workload of the Committee on Justice and Legal Affairs. The main point, Mr. Speaker, is that no committee has yet been designated to assume this very important responsibility.

I would like to ask the President of the Privy Council when the Government intends to move to ensure that this committee is in fact designated so that many of the obvious weaknesses of the legislation can be examined carefully, both with respect to the substance of the Bill and the administration and implementation of the legislation.

Mr. Pinard: The Hon. Member referred to the workload of the Justice Committee. That could be the case if he would be more co-operative. Since we have not yet sent the Security