

First, was this order in council passed in reference to only the height of the buildings? Second, it is similar to a caveat and none of the owners received notice respecting the filing of the order in council against hundreds of houses already built and bought by citizens of that city. No notice was given. The act requires that an action with reference to any damage resulting from the filing of this zoning bylaw must be commenced within two years. None of these people received notice. Will the minister look into this fact and advise me of the reason for filing this order in council and, second, why notice was not given in accordance with the Land Titles Act similar to caveat law?

**Hon. Otto E. Lang (Minister of Transport and Minister of Justice):** Mr. Speaker, I will certainly look into the matter and see the nature of the order in council and any zoning that flows from it. My impression is that it will be in relation to height only, because we have not taken general power in relation to zoning for other reasons. A bill to broaden our power in relation to zoning was before this House and held up, I must say, by Conservative filibustering in the last session.

In addition to giving us the right to do some zoning around airports when necessary, and that was only intended to be used when the municipalities were unable or unwilling to do what was required to make sensible use of airports, the bill had some additional provisions to give protection to people around airports as to the way in which notice would be served. I would be delighted if the hon. member would have discussions with his colleagues about quick passage of that bill so that we might bring it back and move it forward.

**Mr. Woolliams:** The minister will be pleased to know that I did discuss this with some of my colleagues. As I examine the new bill, I find it would make the situation even worse. The minister did not answer the main part of the question. There were hundreds, probably thousands, of these properties affected. Good money was paid for them by average people with average incomes. When they come to sell, they will find, after two years, this has been on the title without notice. I have searched the titles. How can they commence an action for any loss or damage? Will the minister explain that?

**Mr. Lang:** Mr. Speaker, if I did not, as the hon. member says, answer the main part of his question, I surely made it clear to him that I was not aware of the exact content of that particular order; that it likely did relate to height and, therefore, likely has no adverse effect upon any existing structure in the area. All of that is logical conclusion on my part, from our ordinary practices. I further told the hon. member I would check into the matter and report to him when I had more detail available.

### Oral Questions

#### EXTERNAL AFFAIRS

##### REUNIFICATION OF FAMILIES PROGRAM—SITUATION WITH VIET NAM

**Mr. Art Lee (Vancouver East):** Mr. Speaker, my question is for the Secretary of State for External Affairs. In view of the fact that negotiations with the Republic of Vietnam have been disappointingly slow in respect of reunification of families in Canada, and in view of the fact that I have received reports from people who have left Vietnam that officials in Vietnam demand \$3,000 for each person who wishes to leave, will the minister undertake to express concern to the government of the Republic of Vietnam at the time it is taking to conclude this agreement on reunification of families in Canada and respecting the fact that its officials are demanding cash payment for those who wish to leave the country?

**Hon. Donald C. Jamieson (Secretary of State for External Affairs):** Mr. Speaker, I have been aware of some of these charges. I thank the hon. member for having given me notice.

**An hon. Member:** In caucus.

**Mr. Jamieson:** I did not hear the hon. member's interjection. We have been making frequent representations to Vietnam with regard to family reunification. We will continue to do so. I have asked, for example, that representatives at our embassy in Peking, which is responsible for our embassy operations in Vietnam, be welcomed there to negotiate individual cases. We will resume and strengthen those representations.

\* \* \*

#### EMPLOYMENT

##### CRITICISM OF DISCONTINUANCE OF JOB VACANCY SURVEY

**Mr. Lincoln M. Alexander (Hamilton West):** Mr. Speaker, I wish to direct my question to the Minister of Employment and Immigration. It was revealed this morning, in committee, that the deputy minister, Mr. Manion, regretted very much discontinuance of the job vacancy survey. He has made a recommendation that it be reintroduced. Given the fact that the government was embarrassed with regard to the job vacancy survey, can the minister tell me what has happened with the deputy minister's recommendation?

**Hon. Bud Cullen (Minister of Employment and Immigration):** Mr. Speaker, the preamble of the question is in error: the government was not embarrassed by it. As a matter of fact, it was a useful instrument which was used very often by my department. It was taken at the initiative of another department which prepared that statistic and which apparently felt it was not receiving extensive use. In view of the fact it had to make cuts, it decided to make cuts in those programs that were not being used.

**Mr. Alexander:** Mr. Speaker, the minister has not answered my question. His deputy minister, Mr. Manion, indicated that