

Motions for Papers

CANADA MANPOWER TRAINING PROGRAM—NUMBER OF GRADUATES

Question No. 1,689—**Mr. Rowland:**

1. Does the Department of Manpower and Immigration have knowledge of the effectiveness of the Canada Manpower Training Program in terms of how many graduates get jobs?

2. How many graduates from the general purchase portion (full-time) of the Canada Manpower Training Program were there in each of the fiscal years 1967-68, 1968-69, 1969-70, 1970-71 in each of the five regions of Canada?

3. How many of these graduates in each of the five regions of Canada and in each of the fiscal years (a) obtained jobs in occupations for which they were trained (b) obtained jobs in occupations related to their training (c) obtained jobs in occupations unrelated to their training?

4. What is the distribution of these graduates in these three categories according to (a) the time-lag between graduation and employment (b) the duration of employment?

5. How many graduates did not obtain jobs within the measured time-lag in each of the four fiscal years and the five regions of Canada?

6. How many graduates who did not obtain jobs re-enrolled in the federal program since its inception in 1967?

7. How many of the trainees under the general purchase agreements (full-time) re-enrolled in the federal program once, twice, three times, four times, five times, six times, more than six times?

Return tabled.

INDUSTRIAL RESEARCH ASSISTANCE PROGRAM

Question No. 1,766—**Mr. Orlikow:**

Since the inception of the Industrial Research Assistance Program (a) how much money has been paid in each year under its provisions (b) what was the amount of each grant (c) to which companies were grants made (d) what evaluation was made of applications for grants (e) who made the evaluation (f) what evaluation was made of the effectiveness of grants (g) who evaluates the effectiveness of grants?

Return tabled.

Mr. Burton: Mr. Speaker, on September 29 I placed a starred question on the order paper which read as follows:

What is the authority or basis upon which the government acted in not making certain payments to the Canadian Wheat Board under the terms of the Temporary Wheat Reserves Act since August 3, 1970?

In view of the fact that the minister was able to move so promptly in sending out a letter to wheat farmers containing a false statement, I wonder why it has not been possible for the government to answer this straightforward and simple question in the same prompt manner.

Mr. Jerome: I have made a note of it, Mr. Speaker, but I do not know how the hon. member can say that a matter which occupied so much of the time of the House in acrimonious debate can be called simple and uncomplicated.

MOTIONS FOR PAPERS

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, would you be so kind as to call motions 140, 246, 252 and 255?

24372—16

LIST OF BOOKS AND PAMPHLETS PROHIBITED FROM ENTRY INTO CANADA

Motion No. 140—**Mr. Orlikow:**

That an Order of the House do issue for a copy of the list of books, pamphlets, etc. prohibited from entry into Canada under Item 99201-1 Schedule C of the Customs Tariff Act on the grounds that they were of an immoral or indecent character.

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, there is no list of books, pamphlets, etc. which are prohibited from entering into Canada under tariff item 99201-1, Schedule C of the Customs Tariff. As stated in reply to question No. 2044, answered on Monday, October 5, 1970, no separate list of material prohibited under the tariff item is maintained nor does the department issue such a list.

Mr. Speaker: Is the motion dropped?

Mr. Orlikow: No, Mr. Speaker.

Mr. Speaker: Transferred for debate.

CORRESPONDENCE WITH PRAIRIE PROVINCES CONCERNING EXPECTED INVASION OF BERTHA ARMY WORM

Motion No. 246—**Mr. Skoberg:**

That an humble address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House a copy of all correspondence entered into between the Department of Agriculture and the Government of each of the three Prairie Provinces, concerning the expected invasion of the Bertha army worm during 1971.

Mr. J. A. Jerome (Parliamentary Secretary to President of the Privy Council): Mr. Speaker, this motion is not applicable since there was insufficient early evidence to predict the serious problem with the Bertha army worm. While a larger than usual number of moths were observed at a few locations, these observations did not, in the light of current knowledge, warrant forecasting undue difficulties with the Bertha army worm. As soon as the situation developed, communication between officials of the Canada Department of Agriculture, provincial officials and others was conducted by telephone and by personal contact in order to avoid delays.

Mr. Skoberg: In view of the fact that the minister said, in reply to a question in the House, that there had been communication between his department and the provincial ministers, I should like to call for the question on this motion.

Mr. Benson: Haven't you heard of the telephone?

Mr. MacEachen: Transfer for debate.

Mr. Speaker: Transferred for debate.

CORRESPONDENCE RELATING TO ESTABLISHMENT OF SECOND NATIONAL PARK IN P.E.I.

Motion No. 252—**Mr. McQuaid:**

That an humble Address be presented to His Excellency praying that he will cause to be laid before this House a copy of all correspondence, telegrams and other documents exchanged between any federal department and any department or Minister