

from outside. Finally, however dismayed we may be at the failure of all efforts so far to persuade the present South African authorities to amend their racial policy, we must not help to insulate them against the continuing and indeed ever-increasing pressure of the worldwide condemnation of apartheid. Instead we must seek new and more effective channels for imposing weight of our views upon them.

It is for these reasons, Mr. Chairman, that my Delegation intends to vote against the suggestion in Document L71 that the Security Council should discuss at an early date the continued membership in the United Nations of the Republic of South Africa. Similar considerations make it impossible for us to support the far-reaching proposals for sanctions against South Africa in the draft resolution, however much we may sympathize with the desire of its sponsors to find some means of achieving practical results in an issue on which there is undoubtedly an almost unanimous agreement in principle among the members of the United Nations. We shall therefore abstain on operative paragraphs 6 and 7 of Document L71 since, in our opinion, the effectiveness and justification of such specific steps as may be taken in conformity with the Charter of the United Nations are better left to the judgement of the individual governments concerned.

It is in this light, Mr. Chairman, that the Canadian Delegation finds the draft resolution contained in Document L72 particularly suited to the requirements of the situation. While it expresses in most emphatic terms the regret and concern of this Assembly that the Republic of South Africa has continued to pursue its reprehensible policies, it seeks in a positive and realistic manner to mobilize the persuasive force of world community for the purpose of bringing about a change in these policies. If the one hundred and two nations represented here