A comparatively narrow hall, a dark hall, leading from the street entrance to the stairway and thence to the apartments does not determine the question of front or main entrance. The part fronting on Palmerston avenue will be the main entrance. The building is now—whatever the original intentions were—being so erected that the end fronting on Palmerston avenue will be the predominating front of the building, the main entrance from the outside to all the apartments.

That there may be a shorter and more convenient way for persons approaching the building from the west, and desiring to enter the western apartments, or the westerly end of the easterly apartments, does not affect the question under consideration non in it sideration, nor is it material that the side facing Harbord street has two or more or less doors, or that the southerly side is more architecturally beautiful than the end fronting on Palmerston avenue. That side of the building is the "frontage" on Harbord street, as the word "frontage" is used in restriction 3.

If I had any doubt as to the time, construction and meaning the restrictions that I of the restrictions, that doubt should, upon a motion to commit, be resolved in forces.

be resolved in favour of the defendant.

The motion should be dismissed, and with costs. of adit with exact, drive but

Hodgins, J.A.

JANUARY 23RD, 1913.

DICKSON CO. OF PETERBOROUGH v. GRAHAM.

Landlord and Tenant-Expiry of Lease of Hotel Premises

Action of First Action of Ejectment—Defence of New Parol Lease for one Year—Agreement Year—Agreement—Failure of Proof—Terms of Agreement—Liquor Licenses G -Liquor License-Covenant in Lease -Authority of Gen-eral Manager and Tribute of Proof-Terms of Agreement-Failure of Proof-Terms of Prooferal Manager and Vice-President of Company—Landlord
—Necessity for Active -Necessity for Action by Board of Directors - Recovery of Possession - Occasion - Occasi Possession — Occupation Rent — Injunction — Damages

Double Value St. Double Value-Stay of Proceedings.

Action to recover possession of the premises known as the

The defendant held the hotel under a lease dated the 31st cember, 1906, the transfer of the state of the 31st cember, 1906, the transfer of the state of the stat Oriental Hotel in the city of Peterborough. December, 1906, the term in which began on the 1st February, 1907, and expired

The defendant alleged that on the 1st May, 1912, an agree that was made between the state of the 1907, and expired on the 30th April, 1912. ment was made between the plaintiff company and himself,